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For those who missed these events, they are available on the sponsors-only section of the website at: http://globalchange.mit.edu/sponsors/sponsorsonly/webinars.html.

The next webinar is expected to be in June. More information will follow in an emailed invitation. We hope you will be able to attend!

The Global Change Forum is almost here!
Date: March 28-30, 2012
Place: Arlington, VA
Theme: Evaluating Progress on the Climate Front
Forum attendance is by invitation and pre-registration only. If you haven’t registered, please contact Frances Goldstein at fkg@mit.edu.

In March, 2012, the Joint Program launched its first Energy and Climate Outlook Report. Using the Program's Integrated Global Systems Model, the report makes economic, energy and climate projections from now until 2050 — and beyond. The report is available at: http://globalchange.mit.edu/Outlook2012/

The Joint Program welcomed two visitors from Tsinghua. Tianyu Qi is a Ph.D. student studying energy modelling and the international energy market. Da Zhang, also a Ph.D. student, is studying the economics of climate change using multi-region, sector and household general equilibrium models of China's economy. They will be working with the Joint Program's Dr. Valerie Karplus.

The Program welcomed Vicki Ekstrom as Communications Officer in November 2011. Her position at MIT is a return home after spending four years working in Washington, D.C. as a national beat correspondent for the Bangor Daily News, a Press Secretary for the Senate Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship under Senators John Kerry (D-MA) and Mary Landrieu (D-LA), and a speechwriter for EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson. Vicki replaced Allison Crimmins, who is now a staff scientist at EPA.

Feel free to contact Vicki for any media or outreach questions or feedback at: vekstrom@mit.edu.

Our New Look is Here
After 20 years, the Joint Program on the Science and Policy of Global Change has refreshed its look with a new logo, stationary, brochure and much more! Keep an eye out for our new logo on all of our program materials.
EPA Air Chief Talks Energy, Environment with MIT Experts

An unabridged version of this article originally appeared in MIT News (2.2.2012)

Looking to tap the knowledge of some of the nation’s leading energy and environment experts, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency’s top air official visited the Joint Program on Global Change.

Gina McCarthy, EPA’s assistant administrator for the Office of Air and Radiation, led a roundtable discussion in January hosted by the Joint Program on the Science and Policy of Global Change and moderated by the Program’s Co-Director John Reilly.

A return home for the Massachusetts native who spent more than 25 years working on environmental issues in the state, McCarthy said she saw the meeting as an opportunity to “learn from the experts who have been so valuable in providing the research and the science” her office needs to be successful.

Robust science, and clear cost-benefits associated with that science is critical, McCarthy said — a lesson roundtable participant and environmental economics Professor Michael Greenstone helped her realize when he was the chief economist for President Obama’s Council of Economic Advisers during the first year of the administration.

“I think the agency has tremendously benefitted from that,” McCarthy said. “Everything these people know intersects very directly with the work I’ve been doing for the President.”

The visit came just days after President Obama’s State of the Union address, where he laid out his intention to take an “all-of-the-above” approach toward America’s energy future.

“In this administration we are looking for everything from commitments to renewables, that would be wind and solar, but also recognizing that coal will have a place in the mix,” McCarthy said of the President’s vision. “We’re asking ourselves from the EPA side what that means for our upcoming rules on greenhouse gases and source performance standards for powerplants. How do you write it in a way that’s consistent with the rules and still allows a place for new coal and new technologies?”
MIT Global Environment Initiative Proposed

An unabridged version of this article originally appeared in MIT News (12.21.2011)

By: Jennifer Chu, MIT News

A planned university-wide initiative would fuel environmental research and innovation.

As the world’s population continues to expand, our natural resources will become increasingly strained. In an effort to find sustainable solutions for the planet’s growing population while minimizing environmental impacts, MIT’s Environmental Research Council (ERC) has put forward a detailed implementation plan to establish a Global Environmental Initiative to complement the MIT Energy Initiative (MITEI).

The interdisciplinary, faculty-led council presented the plan to the MIT community in December in a forum held at the Kirsch Auditorium in the Stata Center. Council members outlined an initiative that would bring together MIT’s “core strengths” across campus to help solve the world’s pressing environmental challenges.

“It’s impossible to imagine a problem bigger and more compelling, or more suited to the strengths of MIT, than how to drive toward global sustainability,” said MIT President Susan Hockfield in a video address to the forum. “Far too often the public conversation about the environment and climate gets mired in the discourse of blame and despair. Today, I believe MIT has an opportunity, and frankly an obligation, to help replace that stalemate with the momentum of creative, realistic, positive change.”

Once launched, the Global Environment Initiative is expected to focus on cultivating six key areas of academic research throughout MIT: climate, oceans, water, ecological resilience, contamination mitigation and sustainable societies.

Dara Entekhabi, professor of civil and environmental engineering and chair of the ERC, says that while many researchers at MIT are working in the research themes identified in the plan, often these efforts occur in isolation. For example, a biologist studying the health effects of contaminants could give valuable input to chemists designing new materials. Or a mechanical engineer designing a water purification facility may benefit from an urban planner’s perspective. The environmental initiative will aim to identify and bring together such related efforts, foster technological and social innovations in all six environmental research themes, and identify strategic directions for growth.

In the areas of climate and oceans, MIT already has a strong foundation of interdisciplinary collaboration. The Center for Global Change Science, the Joint Program on the Science and Policy of Global Change, the Climate Modeling Initiative, and the recently launched Lorenz Center all focus on understanding the climate system and human contributions to that system. Going forward, the Global Environment Initiative would work to strengthen these existing efforts and identify new research priorities.

One of the initiative’s first goals, once launched, will be securing funding for graduate and postdoctoral fellowships, as well as ignition grants, to foster innovative, cross-disciplinary research projects that would otherwise struggle to attract initial funding from traditional sources.

To view the plan, visit: http://web.mit.edu/provost/reports/2_ERC_Report.pdf
A new MIT report shows a prosperous shale gas market could hurt future R&D, if we let it.

Prior to this we hadn’t compared U.S. gas production with and without shale,” Jacoby says of the new research.

This report makes that comparison. And we found much of what we already knew — which is a good thing — that shale makes a big difference. It helps lower gas prices, it stimulates the economy and it provides greater flexibility to ease the cutting of emissions. But it also suppresses renewables.”

The researchers came to these conclusions by considering what our nation would look like with shale and without shale under several policy scenarios. They found that gas prices would rise by about five times the current levels by 2050 without shale gas, under one scenario; electricity prices would also grow. But with shale gas, prices should only about double. The shale input also reduces electricity price growth by 5 percent in 2030 and 10 percent in 2045, compared to a scenario without shale gas.

John Deutch, MIT professor and chair of a special U.S. Department of Energy panel studying shale, agrees with the significant economic contribution the shale industry can provide. Deutch, who was associated with the earlier MITEI report but not the new MIT study, said that the most recent employment estimates showed that there are three-quarters of a million jobs in the shale gas industry.

“More jobs are being created in Pennsylvania and Ohio by shale gas production than anything else that I’m aware of,” Deutch said at a recent MIT lecture, suggesting the significance of those two battleground states in U.S. elections. “Over the last couple of years I’ve realized that what’s happening with unconventional natural gas [shale] is the biggest energy story that’s happened in the 40-plus years that I’ve been watching energy development in this country,” says Deutch, who served as undersecretary of the Department of Energy in the 1970s.

Shale’s low price tag is one of the reasons for its boom. For every $4 we pay for energy from natural gas, we pay $25 for oil, according to recent statistics from the U.S. Energy Information Administration. Jacoby and Deutch agree this is not sustainable, and that there is a great incentive to continue to tap into...
the shale market — with Deutch calling shale “remarkably inexpensive” compared to other forms of natural gas. This successful outlook has prompted some of the world’s leading oil companies to further invest in natural gas, and specifically shale gas production.

But Jacoby warns, “Natural gas is a finite resource. We will eventually run into depletion and higher cost.” He adds, “It still releases greenhouse gas emissions. So if we’re going to get to a point where we strictly limit those emissions, we need renewables.”

The continued need for strong renewables prompts concerns, as the study finds that shale use suppresses the development of renewables. Under one scenario, for example, the researchers impose a renewable-fuel mandate. They find that, with shale, renewable use never goes beyond the 25 percent minimum standard they set — but when shale is removed from the market, renewables gain more ground. These findings are significant in light of several concerns surrounding the unpredictable shale gas market and future environmental regulations.

One concern about shale gas extraction, and the most headline-grabbing concern, is that fluids from the gas production — a process called hydraulic fracturing, or simply fracking — could seep into and contaminate groundwater supplies. While the report found these concerns to be “overstated,” the Deutch shale panel said in November that “environmental issues need to be addressed now.” This conclusion, along with uncertainties about how stringent greenhouse gas emission targets will be going forward, leaves the regulatory environment in question.

There’s also the concern that the global gas market is unpredictable because the shale revolution is still in its early stages. Jacoby says the development of the industry in the United States is important because prices here are much cheaper than in other gas markets — namely, Europe and Asia. While we pay less than $4 per thousands of cubic feet, other markets pay up to $16. Because it is so much cheaper here, there’s the potential for us to become exporters. But Jacoby calls this really a “matter of timing.”

“In the near term, our supplies are cheap enough that we should have the ability to export,” Jacoby says. “But over time, we likely won’t be able to compete with places like Russia and the Middle East that have lower costs, and eventually we’ll again turn to importing gas.”

Jacoby compares the global gas market to the oil industry. As shale resources are developed in places such as China, which recently announced that it was tapping at least 20 new reserves, prices will likely drop overseas and the United States will turn to cheaper imports as it has for oil. An uncertain international gas market, an unpredictable regulatory environment with more stringent emission goals and decreasing natural gas reserves over time all point to the growing need to continue developing renewable technologies.

“Effective use of renewables, namely wind and solar, are still many years away,” Jacoby says. “How we tap into those resources and effectively work them into our electric grid still needs to be figured out. To get us there we need a robust R&D program so we’ll have renewable energies up and working effectively later in future decades when emissions regulations are stricter, and gas reserves are depleting.”

Shale might provide the flexibility to meet reduction targets at lower costs today, making it a strong “bridge” in the short term to a low-carbon future. But the report concludes that we can’t let the greater ease of the near term “erode efforts to prepare a landing at the other end of the bridge.”

[Jacoby, H.D., F. O’Sullivan and S. Paltsev, Economics of Energy and Environmental Policy, January 2012]
Powering Our Cars, Economy and Climate Policy
Reprint 2012-2

Article originally appeared in MIT News (1.10.2012)

How much do taxes and tariffs influence energy and climate policies? A new MIT report shows their big impact.

Regional climate policies depend largely on fiscal strategies and can have spiraling effects throughout the globe, a new MIT report further proves in the January edition of the Journal of Transport Economics and Policy. The report — titled “Biofuels, Climate Policy, and the European Vehicle Fleet” — uses the European transportation system as a test case and shows the significant impact various fiscal policies can have on emission reductions.

“The effectiveness of climate policies in isolation might depend crucially on the fiscal rules and environment,” says Sebastian Rausch, a co-author of the study and a research scientist at MIT’s Joint Program on the Science and Policy of Global Change. “So if you want to think about effective emissions-reduction policies and climate policies you have to take into consideration their interaction with other mechanisms like taxes and tariffs.”

For decades, Europeans have relied on diesel to power their cars. While better for the environment, these drivers have traditionally chosen diesel because higher taxes on gasoline make diesel the cheaper alternative.

But now, Europe is encouraging its drivers to consider greener options. The European Union has imposed a renewable fuel mandate that requires 10 percent of fuel to be based in renewable sources like biodiesel or ethanol by 2020.

Will the higher price tag that often comes with renewables cause the mandate to have a negative effect? The MIT researchers say no.

Studying the system with and without the mandate, they find that the number of drivers using diesel and biodiesel continues to increase with time because of rising oil prices and a tax system that balances out the additional expense of using renewables.

“So fueling up with biodiesel would still be 69 cents a gallon cheaper than gasoline,” Rausch says, “and it has the added benefit of reducing European emissions by about 8 percent by 2030.”

The report further analyzes the impact of tax or tariff changes, in combination with the imposed mandate. As one might expect, when gasoline and diesel have an equal tax rate almost a quarter fewer drivers choose diesel by 2030. The renewable fuel mandate also does not have a large impact on emissions because more drivers turn to gasoline. But if biodiesel and ethanol tariffs are removed, Europe can achieve significant emission reductions — about 45 percent — as these renewable fuels become cheaper to import and use.
At the same time, diesel vehicles would all but disappear as ethanol blends crowd out the diesel market. Looking at a global scale, the report shows that while renewable initiatives can cut emissions within that country, they can also cause spikes in emissions in other countries — or what is known as “leakage.”

Rausch explains: “You’re still driving a fair amount of diesel vehicles, but the fuel to drive those vehicles now comes from Brazil and other countries because you’ve removed your tariffs. You don’t have to produce as much diesel in the EU, so your emissions there are little bit lower. But the countries now producing more fuel to import to the EU see higher emissions.”

But there is still a positive side, Rausch says: “Because there’s a switch in imports from diesel to biofuels, emissions do get reduced in other countries as well because biofuel production releases fewer emissions than diesel production.”

These fuel changes in Europe can have a “snowballing effect,” Rausch says. Along with “leakage,” there can be other consequences. If Europe evens out its tax system, for example, increased demand for gasoline in Europe would drive up gasoline prices outside of Europe and lower gasoline consumption and emissions in general.


**Reduction of CO$_2$ Emissions from the Private European Transportation Sector**

![Graph showing reduction of CO$_2$ emissions from 2010 to 2030.](chart)


The renewable fuel mandate reduces carbon dioxide emissions by 8.2 percent (MAND) from the 2030 level. The relaxation of tariff barriers (MAND_TARIFF) on biodiesel and ethanol has a much stronger mitigation effect, reducing emissions from the European private transportation sector by 45.3 percent in 2030. The harmonization of fuel taxes (MAND_TAX) has the opposite effect, dampening slightly the mitigation effect of renewable fuel requirements. By 2030 the European fleet emits only 3.4 percent less CO$_2$ than in the business as usual scenario. This results from the fact that the harmonized tax rates lead to increased purchases of gasoline vehicles that have a lower efficiency.
China’s Pollution Puts a Dent in its Economy
Reprint 2012-3

This article originally appeared in MIT News (2.13.2012)

Despite improvements in air quality, the economic impact of air pollution has increased dramatically, new MIT study shows.

Although China has made substantial progress in cleaning up its air pollution, a new MIT study shows that the economic impact from ozone and particulates in its air has increased dramatically.

In recent decades, China has experienced unprecedented growth. But that growth comes with a steep price tag, according to the study, which appears in the February edition of the journal Global Environmental Change. The study, by researchers at the MIT Joint Program on the Science and Policy of Global Change, analyzes the costs associated with health impacts from ozone and particulate matter, which can lead to respiratory and cardiovascular diseases.

Quantifying costs from both lost labor and the increased need for health care, the study finds that this air pollution cost the Chinese economy $112 billion in 2005. That’s compared to $22 billion in such damages in 1975.

“The results clearly indicate that ozone and particulate matter have substantially impacted the Chinese economy over the past 30 years,” even though there have been significant improvements in air quality detected over this period, says Noelle Selin, an assistant professor of engineering systems and atmospheric chemistry at MIT.

The researchers discovered this large economic impact because they looked at pollution’s long-term effect on health, not just the immediate costs. In doing so, they found two main causes for the increase in pollution’s costs: rapid urbanization in conjunction with population growth increased the number of people exposed to the pollution, and higher incomes raised the costs associated with lost productivity.

“This suggests that conventional, static methods that neglect the cumulative impact of pollution-caused welfare damage or other market distortions substantially underestimate pollution’s health costs, particularly in fast-growing economies like China,” says Kyung-Min Nam, one of the study’s authors and a postdoc in the Joint Program on the Science and Policy of Global Change.

Nam gives one example from the study showing that pollution led to a $64 billion loss in gross domestic product in 1995. That compares to static estimates from the World Bank that found the loss to be only $34 billion.

In this way, Selin says, “this study represents a more accurate picture than previous studies.”
Kelly Sims Gallagher, an associate professor of energy and environmental policy at Tufts University’s Fletcher School, agrees: “This important study confirms earlier estimates of major damages to the Chinese economy from air pollution, and in fact, finds that the damages are even greater than previously thought.”

The researchers calculated these long-term impacts using atmospheric modeling tools and comprehensive global economic modeling. These models proved especially important when it came to assessing the cumulative impact of ozone, which China has only recently begun to monitor. Using their models, the MIT researchers were able to simulate historical ozone levels.

China has become the world’s largest emitter of mercury, carbon dioxide and other pollutants. In the 1980s, China’s particulate-matter concentrations were at least 10 to 16 times higher than the World Health Organization’s annual guidelines. Even after significant improvements by 2005, the concentrations were still five times higher than what is considered safe. These high levels of pollution have led to 656,000 premature deaths in China each year from ailments caused by indoor and outdoor air pollution, according to World Health Organization estimates from 2007.

“The study is evidence that more stringent air-pollution control measures may be warranted in China,” Gallagher says — because of not just the health effects of pollution, but also the economic effects.

China is taking steps to respond to these health and economic concerns. In January, the nation set a target to limit its carbon intensity (the amount of carbon emitted per unit of gross domestic product) by 17 percent by 2015, compared with 2010 levels.

While the MIT study looked at the benefits of pollution-control measures on health in China, it did not calculate the costs of implementing such policies. That is work the Joint Program on the Science and Policy of Global Change’s new China Energy and Climate Project hopes to accomplish.

“We’re just getting started on an exciting program of work that will involve modeling the energy, environmental and economic impacts of climate and air-quality policies in China,” says Valerie Karplus, director of the China Energy and Climate Project. “The current study has provided initial insights and a strong foundation for this research going forward.”

The China Energy and Climate Project will analyze the impact of existing and proposed energy and climate policies in China on technology, energy use, the environment and economic welfare. [Matus, K., K.-M. Nam, N.E. Selin, L.N. Lamsal, J.M. Reilly and S. Paltsev, Global Environmental Change, February 2012]

Read more about our work on air pollution in China on our website: http://globalchange.mit.edu/news/

Reuters (2.16.2012): “Worsening air pollution costs China dearly: study”
Valerie Karplus: Designing Policies to Curb Fuel Use, GHG Emissions

An unabridged version of this article appeared in the autumn 2011 MIT Energy Initiative Magazine, Energy Futures, and was written by Nancy W. Stauffer

When it comes to cars and trucks, the most politically feasible policies in the United States today also rank among the most costly. The challenge for policymakers is to find ways to address this trade-off over time, says MIT’s Valerie Karplus.

For some years, the U.S. has had regulations in place to cut its growing consumption of gasoline in passenger cars. But new analysis made by Valerie Karplus, a research scientist in the MIT Joint Program on Global Change, shows that those regulations are not the most cost-effective. Nor are they the most effective in reducing gasoline use and GHG emissions.

In her analysis, Karplus looked at two types of policies for reducing gasoline use: fuel economy standards and renewable fuel standards. She found that the policies both produce comparable, relatively modest reductions in GHG emissions — 5 percent or less of total cumulative carbon dioxide emissions from fossil fuel use. They also are not the most cost-effective options.

So Karplus added a measure that specifically targets GHG emissions — an economy-wide cap-and-trade policy, which has previously been considered in U.S. legislative proposals to address climate change. She analyzed the impacts of the cap-and-trade policy alone and in combination with a fuel efficiency standard. Karplus found that combining policies may actually reduce cost-effectiveness even more, with no added reduction in emissions.

Why is this? The cap-and-trade policy is designed to elicit the least expensive GHG-reducing measures first. A fuel efficiency standard forces automakers to manufacture and sell more fuel-efficient cars — a step that is significantly more expensive than other available emissions-reducing options. The result: a higher cost to achieve the same emissions reduction.

Karplus therefore tried another policy: a moderate tax on gasoline. She found that a gas tax could elicit the same reductions as the other policies at a sixth of the cost. But using a tax to reduce gasoline demand has never proven politically feasible in the U.S. Karplus investigated why by identifying the trade-offs between the features of policies that make them cost-effective and those that make them politically feasible. For example, combining energy and climate goals may mean policies appeal to broader constituencies. But combining policies that achieve these goals separately may unintentionally reduce cost-effectiveness.

“Right now, economists push for the most cost-effective measures, and the policy community responds that such measures are politically impossible,” Karplus says. “We need to find ways to get past the age-old debate, starting with what is possible today but with an eye to what might be possible tomorrow as today’s policies change underlying incentives.”

Karplus says that policies that are politically feasible now can be designed to maximize their cost-effectiveness, and should include clear timelines for revisiting its impacts and for assessing the feasibility of moving to more cost-effective policies over time.

“That will help us achieve our critical energy security and climate goals.”
Noelle Eckley Selin: US Taking Leadership on Mercury

An unabridged version of this article appeared in MIT News (1.20.2012)

Americans have long known the dangers of mercury in our environment, with doctors repeatedly warning pregnant women to remove fish from their daily diets. But despite this solid knowledge of the health impacts, the United States has never regulated mercury emissions from power plants, says Assistant Professor Noelle Selin, until now.

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) recently issued Mercury and Air Toxics Standards that require coal-fired powerplants to install scrubbing technology that will cut 90 percent of their mercury emissions by 2015.

To better inform local residents about the new protections, Noelle Eckley Selin — a researcher in MIT’s Joint Program on Global Change — joined EPA Regional Administrator Curt Spalding and other public health experts at a public availability session in Boston.

“These mercury standards help prevent the developmental delays and neurological damages that could come from eating contaminated fish,” Selin said at the Thursday, January 19, event.

At MIT, Selin looks at the pathways by which mercury reaches the environment and the effect it has on human health once it gets there. She also analyzes the steps regulators could take — and in some cases have taken — to prevent further contamination.

“There’ve been proposals for a long time to regulate these emissions from coal-fired powerplants,” Selin said in an earlier interview with the Los Angeles Times. “The earlier incarnation of this was the Clean Air Mercury Rule, which was a cap-and-trade proposal for mercury, and that was challenged in the courts and then thrown out. And now this is another try at regulating, but it’s been a long time in coming.”

The air toxics standards are expected to help tens of thousands of children by preventing 30,000 cases of childhood asthma symptoms and about 6,300 fewer cases of acute bronchitis among children each year, according to EPA estimates. Vulnerable populations such as infants will also be helped specifically because of the mercury standards under the new rule.

“These will especially protect newborns who are at a greater risk during their development,” Selin said. “It’s estimated more than 300,000 newborns in the U.S. are exposed in utero to dangerous levels of mercury. This can cause lower IQ and neurological damages.”

The United States’ leadership in regulating mercury comes at an important time, as countries around the world have been negotiating a global, legally binding mercury treaty since June 2010.

The third of five planned United Nations negotiating sessions occurred in November (2011) in Nairobi, Kenya, and Selin plans to attend the fourth in June (2012) in Uruguay. She will also be bringing six graduate students, as part of a National Science Foundation grant, to the final negotiating session set to take place in early 2013.

In an earlier interview with MIT News, Selin said domestic politics would likely continue to be a challenge for U.S. implementation of environmental regulations and international cooperation on mercury. But with these standards — now the most stringent mercury standards of its kind in the world — she says the country has proven their leadership.

“These standards show that the U.S. is taking leadership at home to address a widespread and substantial global problem.”
NEW GOVERNMENT-FUNDED PROJECTS

Quantifying Climate Feedbacks of the Terrestrial Biosphere under Thawing Permafrost Conditions in the Arctic

Project Leader: C. Adam Schlosser

This study aims to quantify the climate-warming feedback potential from emitted trace gases, as well as landscape changes within Arctic ecosystems. Analyzing these areas, we will test the hypothesis that there exists a warming threshold beyond which permafrost degradation and lake/wetland expansion will stimulate increases in methane and carbon dioxide emissions. This proposed research further improves our earth-system model by enhancing our representation of permafrost and dynamic wetland and lake systems to explore their effects on hydrological and carbon dynamics.

Source: U.S. Department of Energy

Probabilistic Climate Change Projections for Singapore and Surrounding Regions

Project Leader: Chien Wang

MIT Senior Research Scientist Chien Wang and his team received a grant to study the future climate change of Singapore and surrounding regions. Using the MIT Integrated Global System Model (IGSM) and a 3-D atmospheric and ocean general circulation mode (CESM) coupled with a size- and mixing-dependent aerosols model, among others, Wang and his team will quantify differences in factors such as convection, precipitation, cloud coverage and surface heat fluxes. The ensemble predictions made by the global climate model will be used to drive a regional climate model and a regional ocean model, and eventually a dynamically coupled global-regional climate model framework to predict the regional climate features of Singapore and surrounding areas. This work represents the first step towards coupling global and regional models, and thus accounting for the effects of both large- and local-scale influences on climate.

Source: Singapore-MIT Alliance for Research and Technology (SMART), a Singapore-based partnership of MIT and the National Research Foundation of Singapore. (http://smart.mit.edu/about-smart/about-smart.html)

The Future of Ecosystems and Extremes: Using Diverse Environmental Data Sets in Support of Regional to Global Earth-System Models and Predictions

Project Leader: C. Adam Schlosser

As a collaborative project with the Marine Biological Laboratory, Lehigh University and the University of California, Davis, we are seeking to identify regions where the resiliency to withstand extreme weather and climate events is at risk, and therefore degrade the regions’ ability to resist any changes. This research will aid stakeholders and decision-makers as they prepare for and adapt to environmental change. By employing a variety of models, including MIT’s Integrated Global System Model (IGSM), we will evaluate how a set of environmental stresses affects specific regions. This work will also develop a heuristic model to serve as more efficient and powerful predictive tool to help guide adaptation strategies.

Source: U.S. National Science Foundation
Newly-Released Joint Program Reports

Report 211: Emissions Pricing to Stabilize Global Climate

Report 210: Potential Direct and Indirect Effects of Global Cellulosic Biofuel Production on Greenhouse Gas Fluxes from Future Land-use Change

Report 209: Characterization of Wind Power Resource in the United States and its Intermittency

Report 208: Influence of Air Quality Model Resolution on Uncertainty Associated with Health Impacts

Forthcoming Joint Program Reports

Effects of Nitrogen Limitation on Hydrological Processes in CLM4-CN

Applying Engineering and Fleet Detail to Represent Passenger Vehicle Transport in a Computable General Equilibrium Model

Should a Vehicle Fuel Economy Standard Be Combined With An Economy-Wide Greenhouse Gas Emissions Constraint? Implications For Energy And Climate Policy In The United States

City Size Distribution as a Function of Socioeconomic Conditions: An Eclectic Approach to Downscaling Global Population Distribution

Modeling Water Withdrawal and Consumption for Electricity Generation in the United States

CliCrop: a Crop Water-Stress and Irrigation Demand Model for an Integrated Global Assessment Modeling Approach

A Global 3-D Model To Simulate Long-Range Transport Of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons: Evaluation And Analysis

Stocks & Shocks: A Clarification in the Debate Over Price vs. Quantity Controls for Greenhouse Gases

Pending Publications

Impact of Aerosols on Convection Clouds and Precipitation

Atmospheric Chemistry, Modeling and Biogeochemistry of Mercury

Analysis of Climate Policy Targets Under Uncertainty

Impact of Aerosols on Convective Clouds and Precipitation

Interconnection of Nitrogen Fixers and Iron in the Pacific Ocean: Theory and Numerical Simulations

The Weak Tie Between Natural Gas and Oil Prices

Newly-Released Joint Program Reprints


Reprint 2012-5: The Role Of Growth And Trade In Agricultural Adaptation To Environmental Change

Reprint 2012-4: Analysis Of Climate Policy Targets Under Uncertainty

Reprint 2012-3: Health Damages From Air Pollution In China

Reprint 2012-2: Biofuels, Climate Policy, And The European Vehicle Fleet

Reprint 2012-1: The Influence Of Shale Gas On U.S. Energy And Environmental Policy

Reprint 2011-20: Climate Change: Comparative Impact On Developing And Developed Countries

Reprint 2011-19: Climatology And Trends In The Forcing Of The Stratospheric Zonal-Mean Flow


Reprint 2011-17: Distributional Impacts Of Carbon Pricing: A General Equilibrium Approach With Micro-Data For Households

Reprint 2011-16: What To Expect From Sectoral Trading: A U.S. China Example

Reprint 2011-15: Nitrogen Effect On Carbon-Water Coupling In Forests, Grasslands, And Shrublands In The Arid Western U.S.


Reprint 2011-12: Contribution Of Anaerobic Digesters To Emissions Mitigation And Electricity Generation Under U.S. Climate Policy

Reprint 2011-11: Development Of A Fast, Urban Chemistry Metamodel For Inclusion In Global Models

Reprint 2011-10: The Prospects For Coal-To-Liquid Conversion: A General Equilibrium Analysis

Reprint 2011-9: The Future Of U.S. Natural Gas Production, Use, And Trade

Reprint 2011-8: Learning Through The International Joint Venture: Lessons From The Experience Of China’s Automotive Sector
Global Changes is published tri-annually by the MIT Joint Program on the Science and Policy of Global Change, explicitly for our program membership. It reports on research results and news/events around the Joint Program. All articles and reports are written by Vicki Ekstrom, unless otherwise noted.