

MIT Joint Program on the Science and Policy of Global Change



Modeling Climate Feedbacks to Energy Demand: *The Case of China*

Malcolm O. Asadoorian, Richard S. Eckaus and C. Adam Schlosser

Report No. 135

June 2006

The MIT Joint Program on the Science and Policy of Global Change is an organization for research, independent policy analysis, and public education in global environmental change. It seeks to provide leadership in understanding scientific, economic, and ecological aspects of this difficult issue, and combining them into policy assessments that serve the needs of ongoing national and international discussions. To this end, the Program brings together an interdisciplinary group from two established research centers at MIT: the Center for Global Change Science (CGCS) and the Center for Energy and Environmental Policy Research (CEEPR). These two centers bridge many key areas of the needed intellectual work, and additional essential areas are covered by other MIT departments, by collaboration with the Ecosystems Center of the Marine Biology Laboratory (MBL) at Woods Hole, and by short- and long-term visitors to the Program. The Program involves sponsorship and active participation by industry, government, and non-profit organizations.

To inform processes of policy development and implementation, climate change research needs to focus on improving the prediction of those variables that are most relevant to economic, social, and environmental effects. In turn, the greenhouse gas and atmospheric aerosol assumptions underlying climate analysis need to be related to the economic, technological, and political forces that drive emissions, and to the results of international agreements and mitigation. Further, assessments of possible societal and ecosystem impacts, and analysis of mitigation strategies, need to be based on realistic evaluation of the uncertainties of climate science.

This report is one of a series intended to communicate research results and improve public understanding of climate issues, thereby contributing to informed debate about the climate issue, the uncertainties, and the economic and social implications of policy alternatives. Titles in the Report Series to date are listed on the inside back cover.

Henry D. Jacoby and Ronald G. Prinn,
Program Co-Directors

For more information, please contact the Joint Program Office

Postal Address: Joint Program on the Science and Policy of Global Change
77 Massachusetts Avenue
MIT E40-428
Cambridge MA 02139-4307 (USA)

Location: One Amherst Street, Cambridge
Building E40, Room 428
Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Access: Phone: (617) 253-7492
Fax: (617) 253-9845
E-mail: globalchange@mit.edu
Web site: <http://mit.edu/globalchange/>

Modeling Climate Feedbacks to Energy Demand: The Case of China

Malcolm O. Asadoorian[†], Richard S. Eckaus and C. Adam Schlosser

Abstract

This paper is an empirical investigation of the effects of climate on the use of electricity by consumers and producers in urban and rural areas within China. It takes advantage of an unusual combination of temporal and regional data sets in order to estimate temperature, as well as price and income elasticities of electricity demand. The estimated positive temperature/electric power feedback implies a continually increasing use of energy to produce electric power which, in China, is primarily based on coal. In the absence of countervailing measures, this will contribute to increased emissions, increased atmospheric concentrations of greenhouse gases, and increases in greenhouse warming.

Contents

1. Introduction	1
2. Empirical Models and Data	2
3. Empirical Results and Analysis	5
3.1 Urban Residential Regressions	6
3.2 Rural Residential Regressions	9
3.4 Non-Residential Regressions	11
4. Elasticity Analysis	12
5. Summary and Conclusions	14
6. References	15

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper investigates the effects of climate on the use of electricity by consumers and producers, using an unusual data set assembled for urban and rural areas across China. The primary question is the direction in which the net adjustments to climate change will proceed: greater or lesser use of electricity and, therefore, greater or lesser use of greenhouse gas emitting fuels? If the feedbacks are negative, their neglect leads to overestimates of future emissions. However, if the feedbacks are positive, their neglect leads to underestimates of emissions and future global warming.

The analysis of the potential effects of climate change has received considerable attention, although not as much as the consequences of mitigating greenhouse gas emissions levels (Metz *et al.*, 2001). This is unfortunate for two reasons. First, we have already experienced globally averaged warming and there will be continued changes in the future (Forest *et al.*, 2000). Secondly, there may be effects of climate change that increase or decrease the difficulties of future climate mitigation through positive or negative feedbacks.

We estimate the demand for electricity using climate indicators, as well as structural and the conventional price and income variables. We do this for Chinese provinces over a six year period so that we can capture both spatial and temporal climatic differences on urban and rural consumers and industry. For residential consumers, we employ two-stage regressions. The first

[†] Corresponding author. MIT Joint Program on the Science and Policy of Global Change, 77 Massachusetts Ave., E40-407, Cambridge MA 02139-4307; Tel: 1-617-253-6017; Fax: 1-617-253-9845, Email: malcolma@mit.edu.

stage estimates the localized effect of climate and other variables on the purchase of electricity-using residential appliances; the second stage then estimates the localized effect of climate and other variables on the intensity of both appliance and non-appliance uses of electricity. Non-residential electricity demands for electricity are estimated separately as a one-stage regression.

The Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) identifies energy sectors as being the most vulnerable to climate change (McCarthy *et al.*, 2001). Despite this, there are relatively few empirical studies dealing with the feedbacks of climate on energy demand. To date, numerous economic assessments of climate feedbacks on energy demand have been mainly qualitative case studies, surveys, overviews, or subjective evaluations. (Crocker & Ferrar, 1976; Linder *et al.*, 1987; Smith & Tirpak, 1989; Nordhaus, 1991; Cline, 1992; McKibbin & Wilcoxon, 2002).

There is, however, a modest set of econometric investigations on related issues. These include models utilizing a single stage sector-disaggregated energy demand framework originating with Fisher & Kaysen (1962) and expanded upon more recently by Considine (2000). Dubin & McFadden (1984) developed a two-stage discrete-continuous methodology, used also by Vaage (2000). In most of these instances, weather data is utilized, represented by the number of heating- and cooling-degree days. One exception is Mansur *et al.* (2005) in which they employ National Climate Data Center data on average monthly temperature and precipitation. Like Dubin & McFadden (1984), the first stage of the Mansur *et al.* (2005) regressions explains fuel choice, as if energy sources other than electricity are possible in the important consumer applications.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 details the empirical models and data. Next, Section 3 reports and analyzes empirical results. Section 4 provides discussion of estimated elasticities. Lastly, Section 5 provides a summary and conclusions.

2. EMPIRICAL MODELS AND DATA

In formulating our econometric model of electricity demand with the inclusion of climate variables, the availability of data at relatively low levels of dis-aggregation is essentially the “horse that pulls the carriage”. We have detailed provincial economic and climate data over several years for urban and rural areas in China, covering a wide range of climate zones, forming a substantial panel data set.

The data distinguish urban and rural residential use of electricity. In addition, our data permit us to control for individual ownership of air conditioners, refrigerators, and television sets. We use proxy variables as well to capture electricity demand for lighting/illumination¹. In estimating residential electricity demands separately for urban and rural residents, we follow the spirit of the two-stage approach initially developed by Dubin & McFadden (1984), except that we estimate a first set of individual demands for air conditioners (ACs), refrigerators and television sets (TVs), rather than a choice of fuel types. The second stage equation is residential electricity demand conditional on these first stage choices. More formally:

¹ Brockett *et al.* (2002) indicate that the top four electricity-consuming appliances for China are (in descending order): refrigerators, air conditioners, and lighting/illumination, and televisions.

$$\bar{W}_{it}^U = X_{it}^U \delta^U + v_{it}^U \quad [1]$$

$$Y_{it}^U = Z_{it}^U \beta^U + \varepsilon_{it}^U \quad [2]$$

$$\bar{W}_{it}^R = X_{it}^R \delta^R + v_{it}^R \quad [3]$$

$$Y_{it}^R = Z_{it}^R \beta^R + \varepsilon_{it}^R \quad [4]$$

Where:

\bar{W}_{it}^U = Vector of dependent variables consisting of natural logarithm of the urban stocks of ACs, refrigerators, and TVs estimated individually and respectively.

\bar{W}_{it}^R = Vector of dependent variables consisting of natural logarithm of the rural stocks of ACs, refrigerators, and TVs estimated individually and respectively.

Y_{it}^U = Natural logarithm of urban residential electricity demand.

Y_{it}^R = Natural logarithm of rural residential electricity demand.

X_{it}^U = Natural logarithm of: urban residential electricity price, urban income per capita, and mean temperature variable(s); a binary variable for differences associated with coastal provinces; for the AC equation, the natural logarithm AC price and fan price are added; for the refrigerator equation, the natural logarithm of refrigerator price is added; for the TV equation, the natural logarithm of the TV price is added.

X_{it}^R = Natural logarithm of: rural residential electricity price, rural income per capita, and mean temperature variable(s); a binary variable for differences associated with coastal provinces; for the AC equation, the natural logarithm AC price and fan price are added; for the refrigerator equation, the natural logarithm of refrigerator price is added; for the TV equation, the natural logarithm of the TV price is added.

Z_{it}^U = All the same variables included in X_{it}^U , excluding all durable good prices; additional variables are the predicted new urban stocks of ACs, refrigerators, and TVs from the estimated equation [1]; also included are the natural logarithm of total urban residential living space, and the natural logarithm of monthly night time (i.e. non-daylight) hours stratified by four seasons.

Z_{it}^R = All the same variables included in X_{it}^R , excluding all durable good prices; additional variables are the predicted new rural stocks of ACs, refrigerators, and TVs from the estimated equation [3]; also included are the natural logarithm of total rural residential living space, and the natural logarithm of monthly night time hours stratified by four seasons.

$\beta^U, \beta^R, \delta^U, \delta^R$ = Parameter vectors to be estimated.

$\varepsilon_{it}^U, \varepsilon_{it}^R, v_{it}^U, v_{it}^R$ = Stochastic error terms with the usual properties.

The mean near-surface air temperature varies substantially across both time and space in China. For example, the lowest mean monthly temperatures are found in the north-most provinces (e.g. Inner Mongolia) whereas, in contrast, the highest mean monthly temperatures are found in the south-central provinces (e.g. Guangdong). In order to investigate the possible effects

of seasonal differences in climate and examine the sensitivity of the estimation to various formulations, we estimate separately all equations in this study using monthly, seasonal, and annual mean temperature variables as regressors. More specifically, we individually estimate three regressions each for equations [1] through [4]; Case A utilizes monthly mean temperatures; Case B uses second seasonal mean temperatures: summer, fall, winter, and spring; and Case C uses annual mean temperatures². The specific grouping of months into the four seasonal categories may be somewhat arbitrary, which is an additional reason why we also estimate the equations using individual monthly mean temperature variables.

Detailed data on amount of electricity consumed for lighting/illumination is not directly available. Therefore, we have constructed proxy variables: the mean monthly night time hours, stratified by the same four seasons, and the total amount of residential living space. While the mean number of monthly night time hours are the only variables used that do not change from year-to-year, there is, of course, variation across provinces with latitude³. Significant collinearity prohibited the interaction of the four mean monthly night time hours variables with residential living space. Lastly, since in China, there exist differences in taxes and other economic policies in coastal versus non-coastal provinces, these differences are controlled for via a binary variable distinguishing coastal and non-coastal provinces (Zhang & Martinez-Vazquez, 2003).

In contrast to the residential models, detailed data on the electricity-using equipment of non-residential consumers (e.g. industry sectors) are not readily available. In addition, non-residential data does not distinguish between urban and rural areas. Thus, non-residential electricity demand is formally expressed as:

$$Y_{it}^N = Z_{it}^N \gamma + \mu_{it} \quad [5]$$

Where:

Y_{it}^N = Natural logarithm of non-residential electricity demand.

Z_{it}^N = Natural logarithm of: non-residential electricity price; gross domestic product separately for the primary, net-secondary, and tertiary industries; total non-residential floor space; mean temperature(s); and monthly night time hours stratified by four seasons; in addition, a binary variable for differences associated with coastal provinces.

γ = Parameter vector to be estimated.

μ_{it} = Stochastic error terms with the usual properties.

With regard to mean temperature, three versions of equation [5] are estimated for Cases A through C, as stipulated for the residential regressions. Another problem arises because secondary industry gross output includes the gross output of electricity; therefore, we remove gross electricity output from total secondary industry output.

² Initially, we intended to include relative humidity as an additional climate measure, which also possesses a relatively large degree of variability across time and space in China. However, high collinearity between temperature and relative humidity variables necessitated dropping one of the measures. Temperature is most important for linkages within Global System Models (Sokolov *et al.*, 2005).

³ See Ngo-Duc *et al.* (2005) for more details.

The necessary spatially explicit climate data are taken from a re-processed version of the National Centers for Environmental Prediction/National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCEP/NCAR) reanalysis product, designed specifically for land-climate analysis and applications. The NCEP/NCAR data set is a $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ spatial climate data set which "...is based on the National Centers for Environmental Prediction/National Center for Atmospheric Research reanalysis project and a number of in situ observations...These observations are obtained from surface station observations, radiosonde, aircraft and, in recent decades, satellite retrievals" (Ngo-Duc *et al.*, 2005, p. 1-2). This quality-controlled spatially explicit data was recently developed for use mostly with global land surface models within an integrated global systems framework as well as various applications, including climate change (Ngo-Duc *et al.*, 2005). In its initial form, the data lacked China country or provincial codes. Thus, provinces were coded utilizing a provincial boundary Geographical Information System (GIS) spatial data layer available through the Center for International Earth Science Information Network (CIESIN) at Columbia University (CIESIN, 2004). Following the same approach of Deschenes & Greenstone (2006), multiple grid cells within provinces were averaged to generate monthly mean temperatures for each province in a given year for the time period 1995 to 2000.

The time period of years 1995 to 2000, as well as the provinces chosen, were based on data availability for all economic and climate variables. The province of Chongqing was promoted from a municipality in year 1997, separate from its former position as part of the Sichuan province. For this study, Chongqing data from 1997 to 2000 was aggregated with Sichuan for consistency purposes only. Moreover, the province of Tibet was eliminated due to lack of data. Lastly, after examining scatter plots as well as systematic testing for outliers (at the five percent level) using the method developed by Hadi (1992) and further refined in Hadi (1994), we decided to exclude the provinces of Hainan, Xinjiang, and Qinghai. Using the same method for the rural residential regressions, the provinces of Beijing, Shanghai, and Tianjin were additionally excluded as extreme outliers, characterized by the highest incomes per capita and the lowest electricity demand in rural areas.

Table 1A in the Appendix provides a comprehensive description of all variables for equations [1] through [5] with the variable names used in estimation, their definition, unit of measure, and data source(s); Table 2A of the Appendix provides sample statistics.

3. EMPIRICAL RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

A likelihood ratio test as prescribed by Wooldridge (2000) indicated heteroskedasticity across panels for all equations, likely due to the geographic-scale differences across provinces. In addition, following Wooldridge (2000), a test of first-order (panel-specific and common) autocorrelation lead us to reject the null hypothesis of no autocorrelation for each of equations [1] though [5], finding the existence of common, not panel-specific, first-order autocorrelation. Consequently, for equations [1] through [5], the classical fixed- or random-effects panel estimators cannot be employed. Instead, we estimated them using the Prais-Winsten panel-

corrected standard error (PCSE) estimator⁴. In these cases, feasible generalized least squares (FGLS) is an alternative estimation procedure. However, FGLS produces estimates conditional on the estimates of the disturbance covariance matrix and are conditional upon any autocorrelation parameters that are estimated (Greene, 2003). In addition, Beck & Katz (1995) have demonstrated that FGLS variance-covariance estimates are typically positively biased.

Urban residential regression results are provided in Tables 1 and 2; rural residential regression results are reported in Tables 3 and 4; lastly, the non-residential results are in Table 5.

3.1 Urban Residential Regressions

For the durable demands in **Table 1**, we consider first the demand for air conditioners. For Cases A through C, the magnitude and direction of the air conditioner price and income coefficients are all significant and consistent with *a priori* expectations. In contrast, although urban residential electricity prices yield the expected sign for Cases A and B, they do not appear to be a significant driver of urban air conditioner demand. Moreover, differences for coastal provinces are not significant. The price of fans is included to test the possible substitutability between fans and air conditioners as cooling devices. The estimated and largely significant positive coefficient supports this theory.

Another issue is the relatively large degree of collinearity among the monthly mean temperature variables (Case A). Thus, it is no surprise that most are individually statistically insignificant. From the estimation here, it appears that the month of March is predicted to generate the largest predicted increase in the stock of air conditioners along with the largest predicted decrease during the month of November. The seasonal Case B generates estimates that are more consistent with expectations, namely, that the largest predicted increase in air conditioner stock is during the summer accompanied by the largest predicted decrease during the fall. It is possible that the predicted significant increase during winter may be indicative of forward-looking behavior such that some households are making purchases of air conditioners at lower relative prices during winter in anticipation of summer. Lastly, Case C yields a positive and highly significant coefficient for annual mean temperature, though it fails to convey the temporal variability as in Case B.

For refrigerator and television demand, it appears that income is the largest driver of demand, as expected. In addition, most of the estimated coefficients of the durable good prices have the correct signs and are significant. However, the estimated sign, direction, and significance of urban residential electricity prices coefficients are counter-intuitive for refrigerators. Within the context of the first stage estimation, we would expect that, in general, mean temperature has more of an impact on demand for air conditioning than refrigerators or televisions since it is a device to directly respond to increases in temperature. Thus, although some of the mean temperature coefficient estimates are significant, they certainly lack any plausible explanation.

⁴ In using the conventional panel estimators, random effects results are inconsistent and fixed-effect results utilizing annual mean temperature did not significantly differ from those reported here.

Table 1. First Stage Urban Residential Regression Results.

Dependent Variable:	LN(UAC_ STOCK)	LN(UAC_ STOCK)	LN(UAC_ STOCK)	LN(UREFRIG_ STOCK)	LN(UREFRIG_ STOCK)	LN(UREFRIG_ STOCK)	LN(UTV_ STOCK)	LN(UTV_ STOCK)	LN(UTV_ STOCK)
Equation # :	1A	1B	1C	1A	1B	1C	1A	1B	1C
INTERCEPT	25.669 (1.99)*	-20.261 (2.02)*	2.607 (0.14)	5.450 (3.14)*	5.330 (2.96)*	5.999 (3.50)*	9.142 (13.02)*	10.195 (11.45)*	9.574 (10.17)*
LN(URES_ ELECPRICE)	-0.311 (0.86)	-0.309 (1.00)	0.638 (1.49)	0.036 (1.07)	0.051 (1.90)	0.065 (2.10)*	-0.035 (1.90)	-0.036 (1.67)	-0.040 (1.53)
LN(UINCPC)	0.546 (5.79)*	0.872 (8.04)*	0.795 (5.33)*	0.434 (6.34)*	0.428 (6.27)*	0.416 (6.54)*	0.363 (18.05)*	0.350 (12.95)*	0.356 (12.18)*
LN(AC_PRICE)	-9.816 (3.07)*	-8.167 (3.07)*	-3.201 (2.70)*	-	-	-	-	-	-
LN(FAN_PRICE)	7.562 (2.24)*	6.473 (1.98)*	1.079 (1.24)	-	-	-	-	-	-
LN(REFRIG_PRICE)	-	-	-	-0.279 (1.38)	-0.350 (1.74)	-0.395 (2.10)*	-	-	-
LN(TV_PRICE)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-0.720 (6.86)*	-0.785 (6.02)*	-0.770 (5.23)*
COAST	-0.047 (1.52)	-0.150 (0.65)	0.209 (0.59)	0.030 (2.46)*	0.025 (2.15)*	0.026 (2.33)*	0.013 (2.06)*	0.012 (1.18)	0.004 (0.04)
LN(JAN_TEMP)	-0.019 (0.59)	-	-	-0.003 (1.01)	-	-	0.000 (0.04)	-	-
LN(FEB_TEMP)	0.088 (1.56)	-	-	0.008 (1.76)	-	-	0.004 (1.29)	-	-
LN(MAR_TEMP)	0.068 (2.57)*	-	-	0.005 (1.49)	-	-	0.004 (2.13)*	-	-
LN(APR_TEMP)	-0.085 (1.79)	-	-	-0.007 (0.16)	-	-	0.000 (0.27)	-	-
LN(MAY_TEMP)	-0.090 (1.37)	-	-	-0.006 (1.04)	-	-	-0.009 (2.47)	-	-
LN(JUN_TEMP)	0.089 (1.90)	-	-	-0.005 (0.74)	-	-	0.006 (1.84)	-	-
LN(JUL_TEMP)	0.096 (1.90)	-	-	0.003 (0.57)	-	-	0.005 (1.24)	-	-
LN(AUG_TEMP)	0.100 (1.55)	-	-	0.013 (2.04)*	-	-	-0.001 (0.27)	-	-
LN(SEP_TEMP)	0.036 (1.00)	-	-	0.004 (1.06)	-	-	-0.006 (2.58)*	-	-
LN(OCT_TEMP)	-0.053 (1.02)	-	-	-0.010 (1.76)	-	-	-0.001 (0.33)	-	-
LN(NOV_TEMP)	-0.102 (2.16)*	-	-	-0.006 (1.10)	-	-	-0.008 (2.90)*	-	-
LN(DEC_TEMP)	0.047 (1.52)	-	-	0.004 (0.76)	-	-	0.003 (1.60)	-	-
LN(WINTER_TEMP)	-	1.324 (2.91)*	-	-	0.087 (2.29)*	-	-	0.036 (2.22)*	-
LN(Spring_TEMP)	-	-2.557 (1.01)	-	-	0.109 (0.67)	-	-	0.126 (1.30)	-
LN(Summer_TEMP)	-	16.555 (4.23)*	-	-	0.398 (1.79)	-	-	-0.076 (0.67)	-
LN(Fall_TEMP)	-	-3.767 (2.23)*	-	-	-0.335 (1.81)	-	-	-0.291 (2.50)*	-
LN(ANNUAL_TEMP)	-	-	3.937 (5.16)*	-	-	0.203 (2.74)*	-	-	-0.091 (1.52)
R ²	0.615	0.616	0.341	0.986	0.989	0.992	0.991	0.996	0.996

Notes: * = Significance at the 5% level. Absolute t-statistics in parentheses. Number of observations of each = 153. Estimator for each = PCSE.

The second stage urban residential electricity demand results in **Table 2** are the most interesting. Both estimated income and electricity price coefficients (i.e. constant elasticities) are significant and consistent with *a priori* expectations for Cases A through C. Moreover, the predicted stock of air conditioners yields a positive and highly significant coefficient, as expected. The estimated negative coefficients on predicted refrigerator and television stocks seem to imply economies of scale such that, increases to the existing stock are characterized by “newer, larger, and energy efficient” units which consume less electricity per unit. Another possible explanation is that some saturation threshold for refrigerators and televisions has been reached.

Table 2. Second Stage Urban Residential Regression Results.

Dependent Variable:	LN(URES_ELECD)		LN(URES_ELECD)		LN(URES_ELECD)	
Equation # :	2A		2B		2C	
INTERCEPT	-10.676	(0.81)	26.695	(1.43)	-1.394	(0.15)
LN(URES_ELECPRI)	-0.204	(2.43)*	-0.132	(2.79)*	-0.190	(2.44)*
LN(UINCP)	0.716	(2.20)*	0.564	(2.90)*	0.797	(3.60)*
LN(WINTER_NIGHT)	-1.649	(2.05)*	-2.004	(2.87)*	-2.424	(3.88)*
LN(SPRING_NIGHT)	-3.446	(0.90)	-4.099	(0.83)	-1.654	(0.66)
LN(SUMMER_NIGHT)	-2.665	(1.18)	-4.410	(1.76)	0.248	(0.17)
LN(FALL_NIGHT)	3.549	(1.76)	6.522	(2.91)*	4.533	(2.12)*
LN(ULIVINGS)	0.717	(6.73)*	0.557	(12.04)*	0.485	(4.70)*
LN(UAC_STOCK)	0.039	(2.34)*	0.078	(3.02)*	0.096	(4.98)*
LN(UREFRIG_STOCK)	-0.835	(2.71)*	-0.561	(2.03)*	-0.712	(2.75)*
LN(UTV_STOCK)	-1.305	(2.44)*	-1.112	(3.29)*	-1.242	(2.77)*
COAST	0.316	(2.01)*	0.408	(1.98)*	0.556	(9.60)*
LN(JAN_TEMP)	0.207	(3.35)*	-	-	-	-
LN(FEB_TEMP)	-0.632	(2.03)*	-	-	-	-
LN(MAR_TEMP)	0.420	(0.81)	-	-	-	-
LN(APR_TEMP)	-1.385	(1.90)	-	-	-	-
LN(MAY_TEMP)	-0.419	(0.48)	-	-	-	-
LN(JUN_TEMP)	1.873	(1.98)*	-	-	-	-
LN(JUL_TEMP)	-0.743	(0.65)	-	-	-	-
LN(AUG_TEMP)	0.918	(0.69)	-	-	-	-
LN(SEP_TEMP)	-1.617	(1.86)	-	-	-	-
LN(OCT_TEMP)	0.647	(0.60)	-	-	-	-
LN(NOV_TEMP)	-0.064	(0.11)	-	-	-	-
LN(DEC_TEMP)	0.447	(1.67)	-	-	-	-
LN(WINTER_TEMP)	-	-	0.215	(1.87)	-	-
LN(SPRING_TEMP)	-	-	-0.323	(0.67)	-	-
LN(SUMMER_TEMP)	-	-	2.054	(2.43)*	-	-
LN(FALL_TEMP)	-	-	-1.928	(3.55)*	-	-
LN(ANNUAL_TEMP)	-	-	-	-	0.590	(2.03)*
R ²	0.802		0.810		0.899	

Notes: * = Significance at the 5% level. Absolute t-statistics in parentheses. Number of observations of each = 150. Estimator = PCSE.

Recall that the seasonal night time hours variables are intended to be proxies that capture electricity demand for lighting/illumination. The estimated negative coefficient on winter night time hours is counter-intuitive and may be capturing provincial differences. Rather, it is the positive and significant coefficient on the other proxy, namely residential living space, which supports and appears to capture more accurately, electricity demand for lighting/illumination. This is likely due to the fact that the night time hours are highly negatively correlated with mean temperatures for all Cases, most notably for Case A.

Although the log-linear formulation of our equations provides constant elasticities with respect to temperature (as well as prices and income), the stratification of mean temperature by season allow us to see how these temperature elasticities vary over time. As expected, from Case B, we see clearly that the most significant positive temperature elasticity occurs during summer, followed by a significant negative drop-off in the subsequent fall season.

With the relatively large degree of variation of temperature across both time and space, the usefulness of the constant elasticity for annual mean temperature (Case C) is not necessarily adequate. However, given the frequent use of annual mean temperature analysis in the context of climate research (Houghton *et al.*, 2001), a constant elasticity for annual mean temperature is clearly the most applicable. For example, most climate change analyses include an annual mean temperature change prediction (Sokolov *et al.*, 2005). We compare and contrast our predicted elasticities with the previous literature in Section 4.

3.2 Rural Residential Regressions

Relatively speaking, the estimation results for rural residents are less satisfying than the urban ones. This may be due to the differences in economic policies with regard to economic development of the urban versus rural areas (Jiang & O'Neill, 2004). As Pan (2002) indicates, the incomplete and/or inadequate data available for most of China's rural areas is largely due to the fact that "the focus of economic development in China has been on the urban part and industrial sectors with respect to investment and government policies, such as education, infrastructures, healthcare, and restrictions on mobility. This biased approach is well reflected in energy use in China" (p. 1).

In **Table 3**, we consider first the demand for air conditioners. For Cases A through C, the magnitude and direction of the air conditioner price and income coefficients are all consistent with *a priori* expectations, though most are individually insignificant. However, unlike rural refrigerators and televisions, the first year data is available for rural air conditioner stocks is 2000, within our sample data time series of 1995 through 2000. This is likely due to the relatively small ownership of air conditioners among rural residents prior to year 2000. More recent editions of the *China Statistical Yearbook* (i.e. years 2002 through 2004) report rural air conditioner ownership for years 2001 through 2003. Our initial intention was to estimate rural air conditioner demand for years 2000 through 2003. However, the Ngo-Duc *et al.* (2005) climate data is not available beyond year 2000. Thus, we used rural air conditioner stocks for years 2000 through 2003 to compute an average annual growth rate. This fixed average rate was applied to generate a rural air conditioner stock series for years 1995 through 1999. The lack of data (as seen by the relatively small number of observations used in estimation) is likely to be the primary reason why income is not a significant driver of rural air conditioner demand and why most estimated coefficients are individually insignificant.

Relatively speaking, this lack of data does not plague the refrigerator and television demand estimation, as indicated by the results. For these, in general, we find that income and durable prices are mostly significant with the expected signs. Moreover, we also find that winter and summer seasons imply the largest predicted increases for refrigerator demand, as expected. Annual mean temperatures are predicted to significantly decrease demand for televisions.

Once again, the second stage estimates of electricity demand as presented in **Table 4** for rural residents are most interesting. In contrast to the urban regressions, rural electricity prices are significant with much greater magnitudes for the Cases B and C, thereby implying that they "matter more" to rural residents than urban ones. Related to this are the estimated income elasticities for the rural residents as compared to their urban counterparts. From Table 1, we see that the relative magnitudes of the urban electricity price and income elasticities across Cases A through C are generally consistent. In contrast, the magnitude of the rural electricity price elasticity relative to the income elasticity varies across Cases A through C. In Case A, we estimate relatively small and insignificant income and price elasticities. However, the reverse is true for Case B, characterized by a significant increase in the absolute magnitude of these elasticities; the estimated income elasticity in Case C is also insignificant as in Case A, though the price elasticity is significant for the former. The only difference between the Cases, of course, is the level of aggregation with respect to the mean temperature variables.

Table 3. First Stage Rural Residential Regression Results.

Dependent Variable:	LN(RAC_STOCK)	LN(RAC_STOCK)	LN(RAC_STOCK)	LN(RREFRIG_STOCK)	LN(RREFRIG_STOCK)	LN(RREFRIG_STOCK)	LN(RTV_STOCK)	LN(RTV_STOCK)	LN(RTV_STOCK)
# Obs.:	59	60	61	125	129	132	125	129	132
Equation # :	3A	3B	3C	3A	3B	3C	3A	3B	3C
INTERCEPT	17.560 (0.28)	32.814 (0.69)	81.805 (1.69)	-17.030 (1.26)	6.851 (0.45)	17.177 (1.10)	36.565 (4.94)*	44.802 (5.25)*	45.478 (5.20)*
LN(RRES_ELECPRI CE)	0.489 (0.77)	0.635 (1.35)	0.615 (1.22)	0.252 (1.47)	0.431 (0.98)	0.578 (1.24)	0.023 (0.33)	0.122 (1.71)	0.139 (1.43)
LN(RINCPC)	0.615 (1.87)	0.752 (1.26)	0.556 (1.07)	0.952 (7.00)*	0.794 (4.72)*	0.593 (4.90)*	0.790 (4.78)*	0.556 (2.87)*	0.516 (2.96)*
LN(AC_PRICE)	-0.963 (0.15)	-3.439 (0.61)	-10.599 (2.04)*	-	-	-	-	-	-
LN(REFRIG_PRICE)	-	-	-	0.631 (0.42)	-2.406 (1.32)	-2.949 (1.64)	-	-	-
LN(TV_PRICE)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-4.592 (4.47)*	-5.819 (4.61)*	-5.954 (4.97)*
COAST	1.856 (7.33)*	1.904 (4.19)*	2.317 (3.90)*	0.627 (4.19)*	0.868 (5.28)*	0.992 (5.96)*	0.436 (4.11)*	0.583 (5.08)*	0.591 (4.72)*
LN(JAN_TEMP)	0.403 (0.35)	-	-	0.068 (0.41)	-	-	0.025 (0.31)	-	-
LN(FEB_TEMP)	1.053 (0.38)	-	-	0.420 (0.84)	-	-	-0.368 (1.39)	-	-
LN(MAR_TEMP)	4.577 (1.67)	-	-	0.449 (0.57)	-	-	0.336 (0.84)	-	-
LN(APR_TEMP)	-2.634 (0.49)	-	-	-0.322 (0.23)	-	-	-0.048 (0.06)	-	-
LN(MAY_TEMP)	-2.808 (0.43)	-	-	-5.814 (3.22)*	-	-	-2.191 (1.92)	-	-
LN(JUN_TEMP)	0.096 (0.01)	-	-	3.172 (1.49)	-	-	1.570 (1.45)	-	-
LN(JUL_TEMP)	9.567 (1.69)	-	-	4.188 (1.70)	-	-	2.306 (1.95)	-	-
LN(AUG_TEMP)	-8.744 (1.68)	-	-	-0.074 (0.03)	-	-	-2.768 (2.02)*	-	-
LN(SEP_TEMP)	-0.964 (0.18)	-	-	-0.470 (0.34)	-	-	-0.961 (1.14)	-	-
LN(OCT_TEMP)	-5.415 (0.87)	-	-	-0.728 (0.45)	-	-	0.505 (0.73)	-	-
LN(NOV_TEMP)	-1.288 (0.31)	-	-	-2.608 (3.61)*	-	-	-0.929 (1.95)	-	-
LN(DEC_TEMP)	0.868 (0.49)	-	-	1.333 (2.96)*	-	-	0.501 (2.29)*	-	-
LN(WINTER_TEMP)	-	0.455 (0.83)	-	-	0.390 (2.42)*	-	-	0.023 (0.33)	-
LN(Spring_TEMP)	-	5.905 (1.59)	-	-	-1.212 (1.26)	-	-	-0.309 (0.52)	-
LN(Summer_TEMP)	-	-4.874 (1.01)	-	-	3.073 (2.68)*	-	-	-0.153 (0.24)	-
LN(Fall_TEMP)	-	-4.986 (1.55)	-	-	-1.708 (1.54)	-	-	-1.135 (1.54)	-
LN(ANNUAL_TEMP)	-	-	0.444 (0.55)	-	-	0.539 (2.95)*	-	-	-1.457 (5.60)*
R ²	0.694	0.650	0.644	0.769	0.667	0.606	0.697	0.736	0.698

Notes: * = Significance at the 5% level. Absolute t-statistics in parentheses. LN(FAN_PRICE) automatically dropped due to collinearity. Estimator = PCSE.

For the rural regressions, we find that in Case A, those monthly mean temperature variables that are also significant for their urban counterpart, are larger in relative magnitude. Specifically, the magnitudes of the estimated coefficients are larger for the months of January and February. There does appear to be some inconsistency for months such as November, whereby the estimated coefficient is positive and significant for the rural regression and negative, though insignificant, for the urban one. Most notably, the estimated coefficients on the mean monthly night time hours variables for all rural regressions are generally consistent with the urban ones, except for the significant negative fall season elasticities in rural Cases B and C, as compared to the positive and significant urban counterparts. Related to this perhaps, is that in rural Cases B and C, none of the mean temperature coefficients are significant.

Table 4. Second Stage Rural Residential Regression Results.

Dep. Var :	LN(RRES_ELECD)		LN(RRES_ELECD)		LN(RRES_ELECD)	
# Obs.:	59		60		61	
Equation # :	4A		4B		4C	
INTERCEPT	86.775	(1.04)	307.777	(3.11)*	261.465	(2.42)*
LN(RRES_ELECPRIE)	-0.203	(0.36)	-0.645	(2.21)*	-0.275	(2.48)*
LN(RINCPC)	0.141	(0.29)	0.255	(2.80)*	0.038	(0.14)
LN(WINTER_NIGHT)	-2.463	(2.11)*	-4.537	(2.84)*	-3.060	(2.07)*
LN(SPRING_NIGHT)	3.271	(0.94)	-9.136	(1.53)	-8.310	(1.16)
LN(SUMMER_NIGHT)	1.618	(0.32)	-2.042	(0.41)	-0.278	(0.10)
LN(FALL_NIGHT)	-16.042	(1.98)*	-34.564	(4.94)*	-33.718	(3.23)*
LN(RLIVINGSPACE)	0.533	(4.55)*	0.235	(1.51)	0.271	(2.25)*
LN(RAC_STOCK)	0.085	(3.01)*	0.234	(6.49)*	0.119	(2.54)*
LN(RREFRIG_STOCK)	0.000	(0.01)	0.204	(1.67)	0.100	(1.09)
LN(RTV_STOCK)	-0.014	(0.09)	-0.433	(2.46)*	-0.129	(0.85)
COAST	0.574	(5.94)*	0.803	(8.80)*	0.789	(9.14)*
LN(JAN_TEMP)	0.766	(2.33)*	-	-	-	-
LN(FEB_TEMP)	-2.058	(2.23)*	-	-	-	-
LN(MAR_TEMP)	-0.074	(0.08)	-	-	-	-
LN(APR_TEMP)	-2.081	(1.43)	-	-	-	-
LN(MAY_TEMP)	1.011	(1.05)	-	-	-	-
LN(JUN_TEMP)	3.024	(1.58)	-	-	-	-
LN(JUL_TEMP)	-2.344	(1.23)	-	-	-	-
LN(AUG_TEMP)	-3.416	(1.18)	-	-	-	-
LN(SEP_TEMP)	-2.016	(1.19)	-	-	-	-
LN(OCT_TEMP)	1.269	(0.93)	-	-	-	-
LN(NOV_TEMP)	3.237	(2.43)*	-	-	-	-
LN(DEC_TEMP)	-0.143	(0.21)	-	-	-	-
LN(WINTER_TEMP)	-	-	-0.082	(0.45)	-	-
LN(SPRING_TEMP)	-	-	-1.688	(1.08)	-	-
LN(SUMMER_TEMP)	-	-	1.030	(0.40)	-	-
LN(FALL_TEMP)	-	-	0.904	(0.68)	-	-
LN(ANNUAL_TEMP)	-	-	-	-	0.758	(0.97)
R ²	0.800		0.761		0.777	

Notes: * = Significance at the 5% level. Absolute t-statistics in parentheses. Estimator = PCSE.

Given the aforementioned relatively high negative collinearity between the mean temperatures and mean monthly night time hours variables, it appears that during our sample period, rural electricity demand responded more to seasonal night hours variation than mean temperatures with the largest significant predicted decreases occurring during the fall. This may be attributed, possibly, to rural residents partaking in more outdoor-based activities as opposed to more indoor ones, thereby decreasing the predicted electricity demand for lighting/illumination. The most important is the traditional annual cultural event known as the Mid-Autumn Festival in China. This event is not only for celebrating the harvest, but also a period in the fall season for family reunions and fellowship. It may also be that rural incomes were not high enough to generate significant appliance demand.

Focusing more on rural Cases B and C, in short, it appears that rural electricity demand is driven mostly by variation in electricity prices and seasonal night time hours, as opposed to income and seasonal mean temperature for the urban counterparts.

3.4 Non-Residential Regressions

Table 5 provides regression results for the non-residential electricity demand regressions. Again, the estimated coefficients (i.e. constant elasticities) for non-residential electricity prices are consistent with *a priori* expectations. The major difference between the residential and non-residential models is the manner in which income is measured. Unfortunately, it was not possible

Table 5. Single Stage Non-Residential Regression Results

Dep. Var :	LN(NONRES_ELECD)		LN(NONRES_ELECD)		LN(NONRES_ELECD)	
# Obs.:	82		83		83	
Equation # :	5A		5B		5C	
INTERCEPT	45.486	(5.42)*	41.421	(5.04)*	40.692	(5.19)*
LN(NONRES_ELECPRI	-0.224	(3.98)*	-0.199	(4.69)*	-0.202	(3.90)*
LN(GDP_PRIMARY)	0.136	(4.89)*	0.148	(4.54)*	0.145	(3.82)*
LN(GDP_NETSECONDARY)	0.502	(7.81)*	0.445	(8.38)*	0.376	(6.01)*
LN(GDP_TERTIARY)	0.312	(2.55)*	0.317	(3.86)*	0.275	(3.65)*
LN(WINTER_NIGHT)	-0.270	(0.67)	-0.499	(1.55)	-0.185	(0.61)
LN(SPRING_NIGHT)	-11.316	(5.46)*	-10.187	(10.57)*	-8.715	(5.38)*
LN(SUMMER_NIGHT)	5.249	(3.55)*	5.195	(6.35)*	4.751	(5.28)*
LN(FALL_NIGHT)	-0.807	(0.63)	-1.957	(1.78)	-2.817	(2.30)*
LN(FLOORSPACE)	0.161	(2.82)*	0.145	(1.64)	0.163	(1.74)
COAST	0.213	(3.20)*	0.248	(3.27)*	0.249	(2.81)*
LN(JAN_TEMP)	-0.054	(0.45)	-	-	-	-
LN(FEB_TEMP)	0.696	(1.72)	-	-	-	-
LN(MAR_TEMP)	0.238	(0.31)	-	-	-	-
LN(APR_TEMP)	-0.331	(0.48)	-	-	-	-
LN(MAY_TEMP)	-1.999	(1.42)	-	-	-	-
LN(JUN_TEMP)	2.478	(1.48)	-	-	-	-
LN(JUL_TEMP)	2.862	(1.86)	-	-	-	-
LN(AUG_TEMP)	-3.061	(2.17)*	-	-	-	-
LN(SEP_TEMP)	-0.953	(0.86)	-	-	-	-
LN(OCT_TEMP)	-0.349	(0.66)	-	-	-	-
LN(NOV_TEMP)	-0.757	(1.16)	-	-	-	-
LN(DEC_TEMP)	0.345	(1.22)	-	-	-	-
LN(WINTER_TEMP)	-	-	0.243	(3.40)*	-	-
LN(SPRING_TEMP)	-	-	-0.212	(0.67)	-	-
LN(SUMMER_TEMP)	-	-	-0.040	(0.07)	-	-
LN(FALL_TEMP)	-	-	-0.441	(0.64)	-	-
LN(ANNUAL_TEMP)	-	-	-	-	0.090	(0.48)
R ²	0.935		0.955		0.959	

Notes: * = Significance at the 5% level. Absolute t-statistics in parentheses. Estimator = PCSE.

to decompose the gross value of industrial output into individual sectors. The total gross value of industrial output (i.e. industrial gross domestic product) is disaggregated into primary, net-secondary, and tertiary industries in the *China Statistical Yearbook*. The magnitudes of the estimated coefficients on the primary, net-secondary, and tertiary gross domestic product variables are consistent with, and correspond to, the relative electricity-intensity of these sectors with the following (descending) rank order: secondary, tertiary, primary industry (State Statistical Bureau of the People’s Republic of China, 2004).

In general, the mean temperature does not have a significant impact on non-residential electricity demand, as expected. For Case A, we predict only a significant decrease during the month of August. When compared to Case B, we find only a significant increase during the winter. Based on the aforementioned collinearity between the monthly mean temperature and mean night time hours variables, the monthly Case A should be taken with a “grain of salt”. For Case B, it is likely that the predicted increase in non-residential electricity demand is attributed to increased demand for lighting/illumination given that winter realizes the lowest mean temperatures accompanied by the highest number of night time hours.

4. ELASTICITY ANALYSIS

Previous literature regarding the estimation of electricity demand has many price and income elasticity estimates. Since this study is focused exclusively on China, it is most appropriate to compare and contrast the elasticity estimates produced here to publications with a similar scope.

This study is unique because it stratifies electricity demand into urban and rural residential as well as non-residential sectors.

Annual aggregate income elasticities of electricity demand/consumption are readily available via the year 2004 *China Statistical Yearbook*. Notwithstanding the aggregation differences, a simple averaging of these elasticities coinciding with the time period of our study for years 1995 to 2000, yields an income elasticity of +0.75. Von Hirschhausen & Andres (2000) adopt an aggregate income elasticity of +0.70, attributing this trend "...to the decreasing energy-output ratio of the Chinese economy in the post-Mao reform period since 1979, when the energy use per unit of GDP fell by over 50%" (p. 234). Moreover, von Hirschhausen & Andres (2000) as well as Pesaran & Smith (1995) identify an acceptable range of short-run aggregate energy price elasticities for China (as well as other transitional economies) as ranging between -0.10 and -0.30.

Table 6 summarizes the income, price, and annual mean temperature elasticities from our study as well as those included in the previous literature. Empirical specifications in the previous literature do not include temperature variables as we have here. Thus, as an exercise in sensitivity analysis, we also re-estimate residential and non-residential electricity demands, excluding mean temperatures.

In general, both price and income elasticities estimated for urban residents in this study are consistent with those in the cited literature, irrespective of the inclusion of mean temperature variables. For rural residents, although income elasticities differ substantially from previous estimates, they are statistically insignificant; the price elasticities are more consistent.

Table 6. Elasticity Analysis.

Model	ϵ_p	$^c\epsilon_p$	ϵ_I	$^d\epsilon_I$	ϵ_T
Urban residential electricity with Climate variables (Eq. 2C)	-0.190	-0.100 to -0.200	+0.797	+0.700 to +0.750	+0.590
Urban residential electricity <i>without</i> Climate variables ^a	-0.189	-0.100 to -0.200	+0.786	+0.700 to +0.750	-
Rural residential electricity with Climate variables (Eq. 4C)	-0.275	-0.100 to -0.200	+0.038	+0.700 to +0.750	+0.758
Rural residential electricity <i>without</i> Climate variables ^a	-0.210	-0.100 to -0.200	+0.014	+0.700 to +0.750	-
Non-residential electricity with Climate variable (Eq. 5C) ^b	-0.202	-0.100 to -0.200	-	+0.700 to +0.750	+0.090
Non-residential electricity <i>without</i> Climate variables ^{a, b}	-0.127	-0.100 to -0.200	-	+0.700 to +0.750	-

ϵ_p = Price elasticity of electricity demand

ϵ_I = Income elasticity of electricity demand

ϵ_T = Temperature elasticity of electricity demand

^a Models re-estimated without climate variables, specifically excluding mean temperatures as well as coastal binary variables to prevent them from capturing climate differences.

^b Recall, non-residential regressions do not utilize same income measure as residential and, hence, is not a legitimate basis for comparison.

^c Based on short-run aggregate estimates (which correspond more closely to our time series of 1995-2000) from Pesaran & Smith (1995) as well as von Hirschhausen & Andres (2000).

^d Based on average of 1995-2000 aggregate elasticities in 2004 *China Statistical Yearbook* Table 7-8 as well as those adopted by von Hirschhausen & Andres (2000).

In addition, non-residential price elasticities are consistent with the previous literature. However, since the income measures utilized here for the non-residential regressions differs substantially from the previous literature, no direct comparison is appropriate.

Most interestingly, however, is examining how the price and income elasticities react to the inclusion or exclusion of mean temperature variables for all models. From Table 6, it is apparent that both the price and income elasticities are consistently higher in absolute magnitude with the inclusion of mean temperature variables in the regressions. It is our conclusion that conventional estimates of these price and income elasticities confound the effect of climate and other variables with price and income. We believe that conventional econometric estimates of electricity demand that exclude climate variables underestimate the absolute magnitudes of income and price elasticities. Differences in the estimated price and income elasticities, including versus excluding, mean temperature variables are not individually significant. However, we employ Engle (1982) Lagrange multiplier (LM) and F-test approaches to test the significance of including temperature variables for each of the urban and rural residential as well as non-residential regressions. We treat the cases of excluding mean temperature variables as restricted models and reject each of these at the five percent level, thereby concluding that mean temperature variables do “matter” for the regressions as a whole.

5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

This paper investigates the effects of climate on the use of electricity by consumers and producers in urban and rural areas within China, taking advantage of an unusual combination of data sets in order to estimate price, income and, most importantly, temperature elasticities of electricity demand.

Within integrated system models of global climate change, it is necessary to include an economic model to generate projections of anthropogenic emissions and utilize these emissions projections in a climate framework to subsequently produce climate projections, most notably of mean temperature change (Sokolov *et al.*, 2005). However, relatively little attention has been given to modeling feedbacks from a climate model to an economic model in this context (McCarthy *et al.*, 2001; Metz *et al.*, 2001). Besides its “stand-alone” value, our goal is to fill this void by producing econometric estimates for temperature elasticities of electricity demand.

The positive temperature/electric power feedback implies a continually increasing use of energy to produce electric power as global warming occurs. As long as energy is, to some degree, based on fossil fuels, it implies continually increasing greenhouse gas emissions. In the absence of countervailing measures, that entails increasing atmospheric concentrations of greenhouse gases and greater greenhouse warming leading to higher temperatures, more electricity use, and so forth. Despite the fact that results of this study are relatively limited in terms of geographic scope, the positive temperature/electric power elasticities is another indication of the need to find means of reducing the buildup of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Ms. Adina Gross for her valuable research assistance as well as David Fridley of the Lawrence Berkley Laboratory for his insight and guidance with regard to the China Energy Databook version 6. In addition, we wish to thank each of the following individuals for their valuable comments on earlier drafts of this paper: Jake Jacoby, Gilbert Metcalf, Sergey Paltsev, John Parsons, and John Reilly.

6. REFERENCES

- Beck, N., Katz, J.N., 1995. What to do (and not to do) with time-series cross-section data. *American Political Science Review* **89**, 634-647.
- Brockett, D., Fridley, D., Lin, Jieming, Lin, Jiang, 2002. *A Tale of Five Cities: The China Residential Energy Consumption Survey*. American Council for an Energy-Efficient Economy Summer Study on the Human and Social Dimensions of Energy Use: Understanding Markets and Demand. http://china.lbl.gov/china_pubs-bldgs.html (downloaded 6/2005).
- Business in China: Home Appliance Makers Face Hard Year, 2005. <http://www.china.com> (downloaded 7/2005).
- Center for International Earth Science Information Network (CIESIN), 2004. China Administrative Regions GIS Data: 1:1M, County Level, 1990. Palisades, NY: CIESIN, Columbia University. <http://sedac.ciesin.columbia.edu/china/> (downloaded 9/2005).
- Cline, W., 1992. *The Economics of Global Warming*. Institute for International Economics, Washington DC.
- Considine, T.J., 2000. The Impacts of Weather Variations on Energy Demand and Carbon Emissions. *Resource and Energy Economics* **22** (4), 295-314.
- Crocker, T., Ferrar, T., 1976. Electricity Demand in All-Electric Commercial Buildings: The Effect of Climate. In: *The Urban Costs of Climate Modification*, Ferrar, T. (editor). John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- Deschenes, O., Greenstone, M., 2006. The Economic Impacts of Climate Change: Evidence from Agricultural Profits and Random Fluctuations in Weather. MIT Joint Program on the Science and Policy of Global Change Report No. 131 (January). Cambridge, MA. http://web.mit.edu/globalchange/www/MITJPSPGC_Rpt131.pdf (downloaded 2/2006).
- Dubin, J.A., McFadden, D.L., 1984. An Econometric Analysis of Residential Electric Appliance Holdings and Consumption. *Econometrica* **52** (2), 345-362.
- Engle, R.F., 1982. A General Approach to Lagrangian Multiplier Model Diagnostics. *Journal of Econometrics* **20**, 83-104.
- Fisher, F.M., Kaysen, C., 1962. *A Study of Econometrics: The Demand for Electricity in the United States*. North-Holland, Amsterdam.
- Forest, C.E., Stone, P.H., Jacoby, H.D., 2000. How to Think About Human Influence on Climate. MIT Joint Program on the Science and Policy of Global Change Report No. 68 (October). Cambridge, MA. http://web.mit.edu/globalchange/www/MITJPSPGC_Rpt68.pdf (downloaded 4/2006).
- Fridley, D., Sinton, J.E., 2004. China Energy Databook version 6 CD-ROM. Lawrence Berkley Laboratory, Berkley CA.
- Greene, W.H., 2003. *Econometric Analysis*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Hadi, A.S., 1992. Identifying multiple outliers in multivariate data. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B* **54**, 761-771.

- Hadi, A.S., 1994. A modification of a method for the detection of outliers in multivariate samples. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B* **56**, 393-396.
- Houghton, J.T., Ding, Y., Griggs, D.J., Noguer, M., van der Linden, P.J., Dai, X., Maskell, J., Johnson, C.A. (editors), 2001. *Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK. http://www.grida.no/climate/ipcc_tar/wg1/index.htm (downloaded 6/2005).
- Jiang, L., O'Neill, B.C., 2004. The Energy Transition in Rural China. *International Journal of Global Energy Issues* **21**(1/2), 2-26.
- Linder, K.P., Gibbs, M.J., Inglis, M.R., 1989. Potential Impacts of Climate Change on Electric Utilities. Electric Power Research Institute Report EN-6249. Electric Power Research Institute, Palo Alto.
- Market Report of Selected Electrical Home Appliances, 1997. <http://www.chinavista.com> (downloaded 7/2005).
- Mansur, E.T., Mendelsohn, R.O., Morrison, W., 2005. A Discrete-Continuous Choice Model of Climate Change Impacts on Energy. Yale SOM Working Paper No. ES-43. http://www.som.yale.edu/faculty/etm7/papers/mansur_mendelsohn_morrison_climate.pdf (downloaded 7/2005).
- McCarthy, J., Canziani, O., Leary, N., Dokken, D., White, K. (eds.), 2001. *Climate Change 2001: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability*. Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, MA. http://www.grida.no/climate/ipcc_tar/wg2/index.htm (downloaded 6/2005).
- McKibbin, W.J., Wilcoxon, P.J., 2002. The Role of Economics in Climate Change Policy. *Journal of Economics Perspectives* **16** (2), 107-130.
- Metz, B., Davidson, O., Swart, R., Pan, J. (eds.), 2001. *Climate Change 2001: Mitigation. Third Assessment Report of the IPCC*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, MA. http://www.grida.no/climate/ipcc_tar/wg3/index.htm (downloaded 6/2005).
- Nadel, S., Pietsch, J.A., Yingyi, S., 1995. The Chinese Room Air Conditioner Market and Opportunities to Improve Energy Efficiency. <http://www.aceee.org/> (downloaded 7/2005).
- Ngo-Duc, T., Polcher, J., Laval, K., 2005. A 53-year forcing data set for land surface models. *Journal of Geophysical Research* **110**(D06116), 1-13.
- Nordhaus, W., 1991. To Slow or Not to Slow: The Economics of the Greenhouse Effect. *The Economic Journal* **101** (July), 920-937.
- Paltsev, S., Reilly, J.M., Jacoby, H.D., Eckaus, R.S., McFarland, J., Sarofim, M., Asadoorian, M., Babiker, M., 2005. The MIT Emissions Prediction and Policy Analysis (EPPA) Model: Version 4. MIT Joint Program on the Science and Policy of Global Change Report No. 125 (August). Cambridge, MA. http://web.mit.edu/globalchange/www/MITJPSPGC_Rpt125.pdf (downloaded 10/2005).
- Pan, J., 2002. Rural Energy Patterns in China: A Preliminary Assessment from Available Data Sources. Program on Energy and Sustainable Development Working Paper No. 12. <http://pesd.stanford.edu/publications/20187/> (downloaded 6/2005).
- Pesaran, H., Smith, R.P., 1995. Alternative approaches to estimating long-run energy demand elasticities: an application to Asian developing countries. In: *Global Warming and Energy Demand*, Barker, T., Ekins, P., Johnstone, N. (eds.), London: Routledge; 19-46.
- Prinn, R., Jacoby, H., Sokolov, A., Wang, C., Xiao, X., Yang, Z., Eckaus, R., Stone, P., Ellerman, D., Melillo, J., Fitzmaurice, J., Kicklighter, D., Holian, G., Liu, Y., 1999. Integrated Global System Model for Climate Policy Assessment: Feedbacks and Sensitivity Studies. *Climatic Change* **41**, 469-546.
- Report I952: The Chinese Room Air Conditioner Market and Opportunities to Improve Energy Efficiency, 1995. <http://www.aceee.org> (downloaded 7/2005).

- Smith, J.B., Tirpak, D.A., 1989. The Potential Effects of Global Climate Change on the United States. The United States Environmental Protection Agency, Washington DC. [http://yosemite.epa.gov/oar/globalwarming.nsf/UniqueKeyLookup/RAMR5CKNNG/\\$File/potential_effects.pdf](http://yosemite.epa.gov/oar/globalwarming.nsf/UniqueKeyLookup/RAMR5CKNNG/$File/potential_effects.pdf) (downloaded 7/2005).
- Sokolov, A.P., Schlosser, C.A., Dutkiewicz, S., Paltsev, S., Kicklighter, D.W., Jacoby, H.D., Prinn, R.G., Forest, C.E., Reilly, J., Wang, C., Felzer, B., Sarofim, M.C., Scott, J., Stone, P.H., Melillo, J.M., Cohen, J., 2005. The MIT Integrated Global System Model (IGSM) Version 2: Model Description and Baseline Evaluation. MIT Joint Program on the Science and Policy of Global Change Report No. 124 (July). Cambridge, MA. http://web.mit.edu/globalchange/www/MITJPSPGC_Rpt124.pdf (downloaded 10/2005).
- State Statistical Bureau of the People's Republic of China, 1995. China Statistical Yearbook. China Statistical Information & Consultancy Service Centre. Beijing, China.
- State Statistical Bureau of the People's Republic of China, 1996. China Statistical Yearbook. China Statistical Information & Consultancy Service Centre. Beijing, China.
- State Statistical Bureau of the People's Republic of China, 1997. China Statistical Yearbook. China Statistical Information & Consultancy Service Centre. Beijing, China.
- State Statistical Bureau of the People's Republic of China, 1998. China Statistical Yearbook. China Statistical Information & Consultancy Service Centre. Beijing, China.
- State Statistical Bureau of the People's Republic of China, 1999. China Statistical Yearbook. China Statistical Information & Consultancy Service Centre. Beijing, China.
- State Statistical Bureau of the People's Republic of China, 2000. China Statistical Yearbook. China Statistical Information & Consultancy Service Centre. Beijing, China.
- State Statistical Bureau of the People's Republic of China, 2001. China Statistical Yearbook. China Statistical Information & Consultancy Service Centre. Beijing, China.
- State Statistical Bureau of the People's Republic of China, 2002. China Statistical Yearbook. China Statistical Information & Consultancy Service Centre. Beijing, China.
- State Statistical Bureau of the People's Republic of China, 2003. China Statistical Yearbook. China Statistical Information & Consultancy Service Centre. Beijing, China.
- State Statistical Bureau of the People's Republic of China, 2004. China Statistical Yearbook. China Statistical Information & Consultancy Service Centre. Beijing, China.
- Vaage, K., 2000. Heating Technology and Energy Use: A Discrete/Continuous Choice Approach to Norwegian Household Energy Demand. *Energy Economics* **22**, 649-666.
- von Hirschhausen, C., Andres, M., 2000. Long-term electricity demand in China – From quantitative to qualitative growth? *Energy Policy* **28**, 231-241.
- Wooldridge, J.M., 2002. *Econometric Analysis of Cross Section and Panel Data*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Zhang, Z., Martinez-Vazquez, J., 2003. The System of Equalization Transfers in China. Georgia State University, International Studies Program Working Paper Series AYSPS GSU WP 03-12. Atlanta, Georgia. <http://isp-aysps.gsu.edu/papers/ispwp0312.pdf> (downloaded 8/2005).

APPENDIX

Table 1A. Description of Variables.

Variable Name	Definition	Unit of Measure	Data Source(s)
<u>ECONOMIC VARIABLES:</u>			
?RES_ELECPRIE	Residential Electricity Price	Yuan/KWh	China Energy Databook v.6 for 1997 residential electricity price data; China Statistical Yearbook years 1996-2001 for consumer price index for electricity and other fuels used with 1997 data in order to generate Province-specific electricity prices for all remaining years between 1995 and 2000. ? = U for “Urban” and R for “Rural”.
NONRES_ELECPRIE	Non-Residential Electricity Price	Yuan/KWh	China Energy Databook v.6 for 1997 small and large industry electricity price data; China Statistical Yearbook years 1996-2001 for consumer price index for electricity and other fuels used with 1997 data in order to generate Province-specific electricity prices for all remaining years between 1995 and 2000.
?RES_ELECD	Residential Urban Electricity Demand	TWh	China Energy Databook v.6. ? = U for “Urban” and R for “Rural”.
NONRES_ELECD	Non-Residential Urban Electricity Demand	TWh	China Energy Databook v.6. Constructed by taking = Final Urban Electricity Demand – Residential Urban Electricity Demand.
?INCPC	Income Per Capita for Urban Areas	Yuan	China Statistical Yearbook years 1996-2001. ? = U for “Urban” and R for “Rural”.
GDP_PRIMARY	Gross Value of Output for Primary Industry	Ten thousand Yuan	China Statistical Yearbook years 1996-2001.
GDP_NETSECONDARY	Gross Value of Net Output for Primary Industry	Ten thousand Yuan	China Statistical Yearbook years 1996-2001. Total generated electricity volume for secondary industry obtained from: www.chinadataonline.com was converted to value terms using NONRES_ELECPRIE; the resulting total gross value of electricity output was subtracted from the total gross value of secondary industry output.
GDP_TERTIARY	Gross Value of Output for Tertiary Industry	Ten thousand Yuan	China Statistical Yearbook years 1996-2001.
AC_PRICE	Average Price of Air Conditioner	Yuan	“Report 1952: The Chinese Room Air Conditioner Market and Opportunities to Improve Energy Efficiency” available at: www.aceee.org . Used a single average 1995 price of 3600 Yuan for 2000-Watt window unit in conjunction with Consumer Price Index for Durable Goods to determine the Province-specific prices for all remaining years between 1995 and 2000.
?AC_STOCK	Stock of Air Conditioners (ACs)	Number of units owned (year-end) per 100 urban households.	China Statistical Yearbook years 1996-2004. ? = U for “Urban” and R for “Rural”. For rural residents, data only available for years 2000-2003. Given the time series utilized is 1995-2000, the average annual growth rate in stock from 2000-2003 was computed and used to generate the rural stock series for years 1995-1999.
FAN_PRICE	Average Price of Cooling Fan	Yuan	From “Market Report of Selected Electrical Home Appliances” available at: www.chinavista.com . Used a single average value of 150 Yuan for year 1996 in conjunction with in conjunction with Consumer Price Index for Durable Goods to determine the Province-specific prices for all remaining years between 1995 and 2000.

Variable Name	Definition	Unit of Measure	Data Source(s)
REFRIG_PRICE	Average Price of Refrigerator	Yuan	From “Market Report of Selected Electrical Home Appliances” available at: www.chinavista.com . Used a single average 1996 price of 2770 Yuan (across major brands) in conjunction with Consumer Price Index for Durable Goods to determine the Province-specific prices for all remaining years between 1995 and 2000.
?REFRIG_STOCK	Stock of Refrigerators	Number of units owned (year-end) per 100 urban households.	China Statistical Yearbook years 1996-2001. ? = U for “Urban” and R for “Rural”.
TV_PRICE	Average Price of Television	Yuan	From “Business in China: Home Appliance Makers Face Hard Year” available at: www.china.com . Used a single average 2003 price of 800 Yuan for a 21 inch color television unit in conjunction with Consumer Price Index for Durable Goods to determine the Province-specific prices for all remaining years between 1995 and 2000.
?TV_STOCK	Stock of Color Televisions (TVs)	Number of units owned (year-end) per 100 urban households.	China Statistical Yearbook years 1996-2001. ? = U for “Urban” and R for “Rural”.
?LIVINGSPACE	Total living space of residential buildings (year-end)	Ten thousand square meters	China Statistical Yearbook years 1996-2001. ? = U for “Urban” and R for “Rural”.
FLOORSPACE	Total floor space of non-residential buildings (year-end)	Ten thousand square meters	China Statistical Yearbook years 1996-2001.
COAST	=1 for coastal Provinces, 0 non-coastal Provinces	Binary	None.
WINTER_NIGHT	Mean number of monthly night time (i.e. non-daylight) hours in winter	Numeric	NCC spatial data set. Initial data was for monthly daylight hours, which were converted to night time hours. Winter season consists of the months of: December, January, and February.
SPRING_NIGHT	Mean number of monthly night time (i.e. non-daylight) hours in spring	Numeric	NCC spatial data set. Initial data was for monthly daylight hours, which were converted to night time hours. Spring season consists of the months of: March, April, and May.
SUMMER_NIGHT	Mean number of monthly night time (i.e. non-daylight) hours in summer	Numeric	NCC spatial data set. Initial data was for monthly daylight hours, which were converted to night time hours. Summer season consists of the months of: June, July, and August.
FALL_NIGHT	Mean number of monthly night time (i.e. non-daylight) hours in fall	Numeric	NCC spatial data set. Initial data was for monthly daylight hours, which were converted to night time hours. Fall season consists of the months of: September, October, and November.
<u>CLIMATE VARIABLES:</u>			
ANNUAL_TEMP	Mean annual temperature	Fahrenheit	NCC spatial data set. Monthly variables for each province and for each year were averaged; Initial data in unit Kelvin was converted to Fahrenheit.
JAN_TEMP	Mean January Temperature	Fahrenheit	NCC spatial data set. Monthly variables for each province and for each year were averaged; Initial data in unit Kelvin was converted to Fahrenheit.

Variable Name	Definition	Unit of Measure	Data Source(s)
FEB_TEMP	Mean February Temperature	Fahrenheit	NCC spatial data set. Monthly variables for each province and for each year were averaged; Initial data in unit Kelvin was converted to Fahrenheit.
MAR_TEMP	Mean March Temperature	Fahrenheit	NCC spatial data set. Monthly variables for each province and for each year were averaged; Initial data in unit Kelvin was converted to Fahrenheit.
APR_TEMP	Mean April Temperature	Fahrenheit	NCC spatial data set. Monthly variables for each province and for each year were averaged; Initial data in unit Kelvin was converted to Fahrenheit.
MAY_TEMP	Mean May Temperature	Fahrenheit	NCC spatial data set. Monthly variables for each province and for each year were averaged; Initial data in unit Kelvin was converted to Fahrenheit.
JUN_TEMP	Mean June Temperature	Fahrenheit	NCC spatial data set. Monthly variables for each province and for each year were averaged; Initial data in unit Kelvin was converted to Fahrenheit.
JUL_TEMP	Mean July temperature	Fahrenheit	NCC spatial data set. Monthly variables for each province and for each year were averaged; Initial data in unit Kelvin was converted to Fahrenheit.
AUG_TEMP	Mean August Temperature	Fahrenheit	NCC spatial data set. Monthly variables for each province and for each year were averaged; Initial data in unit Kelvin was converted to Fahrenheit.
SEP_TEMP	Mean September Temperature	Fahrenheit	NCC spatial data set. Monthly variables for each province and for each year were averaged; Initial data in unit Kelvin was converted to Fahrenheit.
OCT_TEMP	Mean October Temperature	Fahrenheit	NCC spatial data set. Monthly variables for each province and for each year were averaged; Initial data in unit Kelvin was converted to Fahrenheit.
NOV_TEMP	Mean November Temperature	Fahrenheit	NCC spatial data set. Monthly variables for each province and for each year were averaged; Initial data in unit Kelvin was converted to Fahrenheit.
DEC_TEMP	Mean December Temperature	Fahrenheit	NCC spatial data set. Monthly variables for each province and for each year were averaged; Initial data in unit Kelvin was converted to Fahrenheit.
WINTER_TEMP	Mean Winter Temperature	Fahrenheit	NCC spatial data set. Monthly variables for each province and for each year were averaged; Initial data in unit Kelvin was converted to Fahrenheit. Winter season consists of the months of: December, January, and February.
SPRING_TEMP	Mean Spring Temperature	Fahrenheit	NCC spatial data set. Monthly variables for each province and for each year were averaged; Initial data in unit Kelvin was converted to Fahrenheit. Spring season consists of the months of: March, April, and May.
SUMMER_TEMP	Mean Summer Temperature	Fahrenheit	NCC spatial data set. Monthly variables for each province and for each year were averaged; Initial data in unit Kelvin was converted to Fahrenheit. Summer season consists of the months of: June, July, and August.
FALL_TEMP	Mean Fall Temperature	Fahrenheit	NCC spatial data set. Monthly variables for each province and for each year were averaged; Initial data in unit Kelvin was converted to Fahrenheit. Fall season consists of the months of: September, October, and November.

Table 2A. Sample Statistics

Variable	Mean	Standard Deviation
URES_ELECD	2.036	2.250
RRES_ELECD	1.203	3.004
NONRES_ELECD	28.847	1.786
URES_ELECPRI	0.308	1.230
RRES_ELECPRI	0.246	1.330
NONRES_ELECPRI	0.279	1.394
UINCP	5110.232	1.323
RINCP	1889.372	1.557
UAC_STOCK	6.360	6.626
RAC_STOCK	0.444	5.512
UTV_STOCK	102.822	1.140
RTV_STOCK	29.195	2.026
UREFRIG_STOCK	73.700	1.220
RREFRIG_STOCK	5.430	3.714
AC_PRICE	3331.621	172.073
TV_PRICE	862.378	46.279
REFRIG_PRICE	2663.616	135.849
RLIVINGSPACE	7203.005	4.900
ULIVINGSPACE	3681.222	2.635
FLOORSPACE	3415.230	2.512
WINTER_NIGHT	395.403	39.464
SPRING_NIGHT	349.916	6.227
SUMMER_NIGHT	299.561	17.737
FALL_NIGHT	367.999	8.389
GDP_PRIMARY	332.953	2.657
GDP_NETSECONDARY	739.519	2.869
GDP_TERTIARY	672.499	2.482
JAN_TEMP	28.783	16.214
FEB_TEMP	34.011	13.782
MAR_TEMP	42.766	11.702
APR_TEMP	54.132	9.404
MAY_TEMP	63.749	7.913
JUN_TEMP	70.609	7.389
JUL_TEMP	75.117	7.431
AUG_TEMP	73.772	7.855
SEP_TEMP	66.635	8.888
OCT_TEMP	56.740	11.196
NOV_TEMP	43.304	13.878
DEC_TEMP	33.248	15.693
WINTER_TEMP	32.014	15.135
SPRING_TEMP	53.549	9.472
SUMMER_TEMP	73.166	7.465
FALL_TEMP	55.560	11.109
ANNUAL_TEMP	52.457	1.235

REPORT SERIES of the MIT Joint Program on the Science and Policy of Global Change

1. **Uncertainty in Climate Change Policy Analysis**
Jacoby & Prinn December 1994
2. **Description and Validation of the MIT Version of the GISS 2D Model** *Sokolov & Stone* June 1995
3. **Responses of Primary Production and Carbon Storage to Changes in Climate and Atmospheric CO₂ Concentration** *Xiao et al.* October 1995
4. **Application of the Probabilistic Collocation Method for an Uncertainty Analysis** *Webster et al.* January 1996
5. **World Energy Consumption and CO₂ Emissions: 1950-2050** *Schmalensee et al.* April 1996
6. **The MIT Emission Prediction and Policy Analysis (EPPA) Model** *Yang et al.* May 1996 (*superseded* by No. 125)
7. **Integrated Global System Model for Climate Policy Analysis** *Prinn et al.* June 1996 (*superseded* by No. 124)
8. **Relative Roles of Changes in CO₂ and Climate to Equilibrium Responses of Net Primary Production and Carbon Storage** *Xiao et al.* June 1996
9. **CO₂ Emissions Limits: Economic Adjustments and the Distribution of Burdens** *Jacoby et al.* July 1997
10. **Modeling the Emissions of N₂O and CH₄ from the Terrestrial Biosphere to the Atmosphere**
Liu August 1996
11. **Global Warming Projections: Sensitivity to Deep Ocean Mixing** *Sokolov & Stone* September 1996
12. **Net Primary Production of Ecosystems in China and its Equilibrium Responses to Climate Changes**
Xiao et al. November 1996
13. **Greenhouse Policy Architectures and Institutions**
Schmalensee November 1996
14. **What Does Stabilizing Greenhouse Gas Concentrations Mean?** *Jacoby et al.* November 1996
15. **Economic Assessment of CO₂ Capture and Disposal**
Eckaus et al. December 1996
16. **What Drives Deforestation in the Brazilian Amazon?**
Pfaff December 1996
17. **A Flexible Climate Model For Use In Integrated Assessments** *Sokolov & Stone* March 1997
18. **Transient Climate Change and Potential Croplands of the World in the 21st Century** *Xiao et al.* May 1997
19. **Joint Implementation: Lessons from Title IV's Voluntary Compliance Programs** *Atkeson* June 1997
20. **Parameterization of Urban Sub-grid Scale Processes in Global Atmospheric Chemistry Models**
Calbo et al. July 1997
21. **Needed: A Realistic Strategy for Global Warming**
Jacoby, Prinn & Schmalensee August 1997
22. **Same Science, Differing Policies; The Saga of Global Climate Change** *Skolnikoff* August 1997
23. **Uncertainty in the Oceanic Heat and Carbon Uptake and their Impact on Climate Projections**
Sokolov et al. September 1997
24. **A Global Interactive Chemistry and Climate Model**
Wang, Prinn & Sokolov September 1997
25. **Interactions Among Emissions, Atmospheric Chemistry and Climate Change** *Wang & Prinn*
September 1997
26. **Necessary Conditions for Stabilization Agreements**
Yang & Jacoby October 1997
27. **Annex I Differentiation Proposals: Implications for Welfare, Equity and Policy** *Reiner & Jacoby* Oct. 1997
28. **Transient Climate Change and Net Ecosystem Production of the Terrestrial Biosphere**
Xiao et al. November 1997
29. **Analysis of CO₂ Emissions from Fossil Fuel in Korea: 1961-1994** *Choi* November 1997
30. **Uncertainty in Future Carbon Emissions: A Preliminary Exploration** *Webster* November 1997
31. **Beyond Emissions Paths: Rethinking the Climate Impacts of Emissions Protocols** *Webster & Reiner* November 1997
32. **Kyoto's Unfinished Business** *Jacoby et al.* June 1998
33. **Economic Development and the Structure of the Demand for Commercial Energy** *Judson et al.* April 1998
34. **Combined Effects of Anthropogenic Emissions and Resultant Climatic Changes on Atmospheric OH**
Wang & Prinn April 1998
35. **Impact of Emissions, Chemistry, and Climate on Atmospheric Carbon Monoxide** *Wang & Prinn* April 1998
36. **Integrated Global System Model for Climate Policy Assessment: Feedbacks and Sensitivity Studies**
Prinn et al. June 1998
37. **Quantifying the Uncertainty in Climate Predictions**
Webster & Sokolov July 1998
38. **Sequential Climate Decisions Under Uncertainty: An Integrated Framework** *Valverde et al.* September 1998
39. **Uncertainty in Atmospheric CO₂ (Ocean Carbon Cycle Model Analysis)** *Holian* Oct. 1998 (*superseded* by No. 80)
40. **Analysis of Post-Kyoto CO₂ Emissions Trading Using Marginal Abatement Curves** *Ellerman & Decaux* Oct. 1998
41. **The Effects on Developing Countries of the Kyoto Protocol and CO₂ Emissions Trading**
Ellerman et al. November 1998
42. **Obstacles to Global CO₂ Trading: A Familiar Problem**
Ellerman November 1998
43. **The Uses and Misuses of Technology Development as a Component of Climate Policy** *Jacoby* November 1998
44. **Primary Aluminum Production: Climate Policy, Emissions and Costs** *Harnisch et al.* December 1998
45. **Multi-Gas Assessment of the Kyoto Protocol**
Reilly et al. January 1999
46. **From Science to Policy: The Science-Related Politics of Climate Change Policy in the U.S.** *Skolnikoff* January 1999

Contact the Joint Program Office to request a copy. The Report Series is distributed at no charge.

REPORT SERIES of the MIT Joint Program on the Science and Policy of Global Change

47. **Constraining Uncertainties in Climate Models Using Climate Change Detection Techniques**
Forest et al. April 1999
48. **Adjusting to Policy Expectations in Climate Change Modeling** *Shackley et al.* May 1999
49. **Toward a Useful Architecture for Climate Change Negotiations** *Jacoby et al.* May 1999
50. **A Study of the Effects of Natural Fertility, Weather and Productive Inputs in Chinese Agriculture**
Eckaus & Tso July 1999
51. **Japanese Nuclear Power and the Kyoto Agreement**
Babiker, Reilly & Ellerman August 1999
52. **Interactive Chemistry and Climate Models in Global Change Studies** *Wang & Prinn* September 1999
53. **Developing Country Effects of Kyoto-Type Emissions Restrictions** *Babiker & Jacoby* October 1999
54. **Model Estimates of the Mass Balance of the Greenland and Antarctic Ice Sheets** *Bugnion* Oct 1999
55. **Changes in Sea-Level Associated with Modifications of Ice Sheets over 21st Century** *Bugnion* October 1999
56. **The Kyoto Protocol and Developing Countries**
Babiker et al. October 1999
57. **Can EPA Regulate Greenhouse Gases Before the Senate Ratifies the Kyoto Protocol?**
Bugnion & Reiner November 1999
58. **Multiple Gas Control Under the Kyoto Agreement**
Reilly, Mayer & Harnisch March 2000
59. **Supplementarity: An Invitation for Monopsony?**
Ellerman & Sue Wing April 2000
60. **A Coupled Atmosphere-Ocean Model of Intermediate Complexity** *Kamenkovich et al.* May 2000
61. **Effects of Differentiating Climate Policy by Sector: A U.S. Example** *Babiker et al.* May 2000
62. **Constraining Climate Model Properties Using Optimal Fingerprint Detection Methods** *Forest et al.* May 2000
63. **Linking Local Air Pollution to Global Chemistry and Climate** *Mayer et al.* June 2000
64. **The Effects of Changing Consumption Patterns on the Costs of Emission Restrictions** *Lahiri et al.* Aug 2000
65. **Rethinking the Kyoto Emissions Targets**
Babiker & Eckaus August 2000
66. **Fair Trade and Harmonization of Climate Change Policies in Europe** *Viguié* September 2000
67. **The Curious Role of "Learning" in Climate Policy: Should We Wait for More Data?** *Webster* October 2000
68. **How to Think About Human Influence on Climate**
Forest, Stone & Jacoby October 2000
69. **Tradable Permits for Greenhouse Gas Emissions: A primer with reference to Europe** *Ellerman* Nov 2000
70. **Carbon Emissions and The Kyoto Commitment in the European Union** *Viguié et al.* February 2001
71. **The MIT Emissions Prediction and Policy Analysis Model: Revisions, Sensitivities and Results**
Babiker et al. February 2001 (*superseded* by No. 125)
72. **Cap and Trade Policies in the Presence of Monopoly and Distortionary Taxation** *Fullerton & Metcalf* Mar 2001
73. **Uncertainty Analysis of Global Climate Change Projections** *Webster et al.* March 2001
(*superseded* by No. 95)
74. **The Welfare Costs of Hybrid Carbon Policies in the European Union** *Babiker et al.* June 2001
75. **Feedbacks Affecting the Response of the Thermohaline Circulation to Increasing CO₂**
Kamenkovich et al. July 2001
76. **CO₂ Abatement by Multi-fueled Electric Utilities: An Analysis Based on Japanese Data**
Ellerman & Tsukada July 2001
77. **Comparing Greenhouse Gases** *Reilly et al.* July 2001
78. **Quantifying Uncertainties in Climate System Properties using Recent Climate Observations**
Forest et al. July 2001
79. **Uncertainty in Emissions Projections for Climate Models** *Webster et al.* August 2001
80. **Uncertainty in Atmospheric CO₂ Predictions from a Global Ocean Carbon Cycle Model**
Holian et al. September 2001
81. **A Comparison of the Behavior of AO GCMs in Transient Climate Change Experiments**
Sokolov et al. December 2001
82. **The Evolution of a Climate Regime: Kyoto to Marrakech** *Babiker, Jacoby & Reiner* February 2002
83. **The "Safety Valve" and Climate Policy**
Jacoby & Ellerman February 2002
84. **A Modeling Study on the Climate Impacts of Black Carbon Aerosols** *Wang* March 2002
85. **Tax Distortions and Global Climate Policy**
Babiker et al. May 2002
86. **Incentive-based Approaches for Mitigating Greenhouse Gas Emissions: Issues and Prospects for India** *Gupta* June 2002
87. **Deep-Ocean Heat Uptake in an Ocean GCM with Idealized Geometry** *Huang, Stone & Hill* September 2002
88. **The Deep-Ocean Heat Uptake in Transient Climate Change** *Huang et al.* September 2002
89. **Representing Energy Technologies in Top-down Economic Models using Bottom-up Information**
McFarland et al. October 2002
90. **Ozone Effects on Net Primary Production and Carbon Sequestration in the U.S. Using a Biogeochemistry Model** *Felzer et al.* November 2002
91. **Exclusionary Manipulation of Carbon Permit Markets: A Laboratory Test** *Carlén* November 2002

Contact the Joint Program Office to request a copy. The Report Series is distributed at no charge.

REPORT SERIES of the MIT *Joint Program on the Science and Policy of Global Change*

92. **An Issue of Permanence: Assessing the Effectiveness of Temporary Carbon Storage** Herzog et al. December 2002
93. **Is International Emissions Trading Always Beneficial?** Babiker et al. December 2002
94. **Modeling Non-CO₂ Greenhouse Gas Abatement** Hyman et al. December 2002
95. **Uncertainty Analysis of Climate Change and Policy Response** Webster et al. December 2002
96. **Market Power in International Carbon Emissions Trading: A Laboratory Test** Carlén January 2003
97. **Emissions Trading to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions in the United States: The McCain-Lieberman Proposal** Paltsev et al. June 2003
98. **Russia's Role in the Kyoto Protocol** Bernard et al. June 2003
99. **Thermohaline Circulation Stability: A Box Model Study** Lucarini & Stone June 2003
100. **Absolute vs. Intensity-Based Emissions Caps** Ellerman & Sue Wing July 2003
101. **Technology Detail in a Multi-Sector CGE Model: Transport Under Climate Policy** Schafer & Jacoby July 2003
102. **Induced Technical Change and the Cost of Climate Policy** Sue Wing September 2003
103. **Past and Future Effects of Ozone on Net Primary Production and Carbon Sequestration Using a Global Biogeochemical Model** Felzer et al. (revised) January 2004
104. **A Modeling Analysis of Methane Exchanges Between Alaskan Ecosystems and the Atmosphere** Zhuang et al. November 2003
105. **Analysis of Strategies of Companies under Carbon Constraint** Hashimoto January 2004
106. **Climate Prediction: The Limits of Ocean Models** Stone February 2004
107. **Informing Climate Policy Given Incommensurable Benefits Estimates** Jacoby February 2004
108. **Methane Fluxes Between Terrestrial Ecosystems and the Atmosphere at High Latitudes During the Past Century** Zhuang et al. March 2004
109. **Sensitivity of Climate to Diapycnal Diffusivity in the Ocean** Dalan et al. May 2004
110. **Stabilization and Global Climate Policy** Sarofim et al. July 2004
111. **Technology and Technical Change in the MIT EPPA Model** Jacoby et al. July 2004
112. **The Cost of Kyoto Protocol Targets: The Case of Japan** Paltsev et al. July 2004
113. **Economic Benefits of Air Pollution Regulation in the USA: An Integrated Approach** Yang et al. (revised) January 2005
114. **The Role of Non-CO₂ Greenhouse Gases in Climate Policy: Analysis Using the MIT IGSM** Reilly et al. August 2004
115. **Future United States Energy Security Concerns** Deutch September 2004
116. **Explaining Long-Run Changes in the Energy Intensity of the U.S. Economy** Sue Wing Sept. 2004
117. **Modeling the Transport Sector: The Role of Existing Fuel Taxes in Climate Policy** Paltsev et al. November 2004
118. **Effects of Air Pollution Control on Climate** Prinn et al. January 2005
119. **Does Model Sensitivity to Changes in CO₂ Provide a Measure of Sensitivity to the Forcing of Different Nature?** Sokolov March 2005
120. **What Should the Government Do To Encourage Technical Change in the Energy Sector?** Deutch May 2005
121. **Climate Change Taxes and Energy Efficiency in Japan** Kasahara et al. May 2005
122. **A 3D Ocean-Seaice-Carbon Cycle Model and its Coupling to a 2D Atmospheric Model: Uses in Climate Change Studies** Dutkiewicz et al. (revised) November 2005
123. **Simulating the Spatial Distribution of Population and Emissions to 2100** Asadoorian May 2005
124. **MIT Integrated Global System Model (IGSM) Version 2: Model Description and Baseline Evaluation** Sokolov et al. July 2005
125. **The MIT Emissions Prediction and Policy Analysis (EPPA) Model: Version 4** Paltsev et al. August 2005
126. **Estimated PDFs of Climate System Properties Including Natural and Anthropogenic Forcings** Forest et al. September 2005
127. **An Analysis of the European Emission Trading Scheme** Reilly & Paltsev October 2005
128. **Evaluating the Use of Ocean Models of Different Complexity in Climate Change Studies** Sokolov et al. November 2005
129. **Future Carbon Regulations and Current Investments in Alternative Coal-Fired Power Plant Designs** Sekar et al. December 2005
130. **Absolute vs. Intensity Limits for CO₂ Emission Control: Performance Under Uncertainty** Sue Wing et al. January 2006
131. **The Economic Impacts of Climate Change: Evidence from Agricultural Profits and Random Fluctuations in Weather** Deschenes & Greenstone January 2006
132. **The Value of Emissions Trading** Webster et al. February 2006
133. **Estimating Probability Distributions from Complex Models with Bifurcations: The Case of Ocean Circulation Collapse** Webster et al. March 2006
134. **Directed Technical Change and Climate Policy** Otto et al. April 2006
135. **Modeling Climate Feedbacks to Energy Demand: The Case of China** Asadoorian et al. June 2006

Contact the Joint Program Office to request a copy. The Report Series is distributed at no charge.